Combining metformin and aerobic exercise training in the treatment of type 2 diabetes and NAFLD in OLETF rats
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Linden MA, Fletcher JA, Morris EM, Meers GM, Kearney ML, Crissey JM, Laughlin MH, Booth FW, Sowers JR, Ibdah JA, Thyfault JP, Rector RS. Combining metformin and aerobic exercise training in the treatment of type 2 diabetes and NAFLD in OLETF rats. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 306: E300–E310, 2014. First published December 10, 2013; doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00427.2013.—Here, we sought to compare the efficacy of combining exercise and metformin for the treatment of type 2 diabetes and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in hyperphagic, obese, type 2 diabetic Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty (OLETF) rats. OLETF rats (age: 20 wk, hyperglycemic and hyperinsulinemic, n = 10/group) were randomly assigned to sedentary (O-SED), SED plus metformin (O-SED + M; 300 mg kg−1·day−1), moderate-intensity exercise training (O-EndEx: 20 m/min, 60 min/day, 5 days/wk treadmill running), or O-EndEx + M groups for 12 wk. Long-Evans Tokushima Otsuka (L-SED) rats served as nonhyperphagic controls. O-SED + M, O-EndEx, and O-EndEx + M were effective in the management of type 2 diabetes, and all three treatments lowered hepatic steatosis and serum markers of liver injury; however, O-EndEx lowered liver triglyceride content and fasting hyperglycemia more than O-SED + M. In addition, exercise elicited greater improvements compared with metformin alone on postchallenge glycemic control, liver diacylglycerol content, hepatic mitochondrial palmitate oxidation, citrate synthase, and β-HAD activities and in the attenuation of markers of hepatic fatty acid uptake and de novo fatty acid synthesis. Surprisingly, combining metformin and aerobic exercise training offered little added benefit to these outcomes, and in fact, metformin actually blunted exercise-induced increases in complete mitochondrial palmitate oxidation and β-HAD activity. In conclusion, aerobic exercise training was more effective than metformin administration in the management of type 2 diabetes and NAFLD outcomes in obese hyperphagic OLETF rats. Combining therapies offered little additional benefit beyond exercise alone, and findings suggest that metformin potentially impairs exercise-induced hepatic mitochondrial adaptations.
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NONALCOHOLIC FATTY LIVER DISEASE (NAFLD) is a progressive liver disease characterized by hepatic triglyceride (TG) accumulation (≥5% by weight for diagnosis) that occurs in the absence of excess alcohol consumption (>20 g/day). It encom-
intensity treadmill exercise elicits similar benefits for the treatment of NAFLD and type 2 diabetes. Additionally, it is unclear whether combining metformin treatment with exercise training would confer additional benefits. Thus, we sought to determine whether 1) exercise training and metformin treatment differ in their efficacy to treat NAFLD and type 2 diabetes, 2) the combination of exercise training and metformin can further improve pathological conditions associated with NAFLD, and 3) to gain insight into the mechanisms by which NAFLD is improved by each therapy alone and in combination in the type 2 diabetic OLETF rat. Because both metformin treatment and exercise training have been shown independently to improve conditions associated with type 2 diabetes and NAFLD, it was hypothesized that the combination of the two treatments would be more effective at treating these disease states than either treatment alone.

METHODS

Animal protocol. The animal protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Missouri. Four-week-old OLETF male rats (Tokushima Research Institute, Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Tokushima, Japan) were housed individually in standard cages within temperature- and light-controlled animal quarters (12:12-h light-dark cycle at 21°C). The animals were given ad libitum access to standard rodent chow (Formulab 5008; Purina Mills, St. Louis, MO). All animals remained sedentary until 20 wk of age [where sedentary OLETF rats have developed moderate NAFLD and are insulin resistant and type 2 diabetic with hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia (4, 43, 45)], at which time they were randomly assigned to one of the following treatment groups (n = 10/group) for 12 wk: sedentary (O-SED), SED + metformin (O-SED + M), moderate-intensity exercise training (O-EndEx), or O-EndEx + M. Nonhyperphagic control Long-Evans Tokushima Otsuka rats remained in sedentary cage conditions (L-SED). Animals in the EndEx treatments initially began treadmill running at a speed of 15 m/min on a 15% incline for 5 min/day. Duration and speed were gradually increased by 2–3 min/day and 1–2 m·min⁻¹·wk⁻¹ such that by week 4 the animals were running at a speed of 20 m/min on a 15% incline, 60 min/day, 5 days/wk. Metformin (Bosche Scientific) was administered in the drinking water (150 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹) to gain insight into the mechanisms by which NAFLD is improved by each therapy alone and in combination in the type 2 diabetic OLETF rat. Because both metformin treatment and exercise training have been shown independently to improve conditions associated with type 2 diabetes and NAFLD, it was hypothesized that the combination of the two treatments would be more effective at treating these disease states than either treatment alone.

Fatty acid oxidation and measurements of mitochondrial function. Fatty acid oxidation assays were performed in fresh hepatic tissue preparations, with radiolabeled [1-¹⁴C]palmitate (American Radioc-

Downloaded from http://ajpendo.physiology.org/ by 10.220.33.4 on August 28, 2017
AMPK Thr\(^{172}\), cytochrome c, total Akt, phosphorylation-specific Akt Thr\(^{308}\), and phosphorylation-specific Akt Ser\(^{473}\) were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). SCD-1 polyclonal antibody was from Alpha Diagnostics International (San Antonio, TX). CD36/FAT polyclonal antibody was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX). Diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT)1 and TR4 orphan receptor polyclonal antibodies were obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). DGAT2 polyclonal antibody was from Novus Biologicals (Littleton, CO). Content of phosphopeptides was calculated from the density of the band of the phosphoprotein divided by the density (content) of the total protein using the appropriate antibodies (41, 42). Liver samples were homogenized using lysis buffer. Protein (20–40 μg) was loaded into a SDS-PAGE gel and probed with the primary antibody. After washing, the membrane was incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies. Protein bands were quantified using a densitometer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). To control for equal protein loading and transfer, the membranes were then stained with 0.1% amido-black (Sigma), and total protein staining was quantified (42).

Statistical analysis: Each outcome measure was examined in eight to 10 animals per group. For each outcome measure, a one-way analysis of variance was performed (SPSS/20.0; IBM, Chicago, IL), with significant interactions followed up using Fisher LSD post hoc comparisons. Values are reported as means ± SE, and statistical significance was determined as \(P < 0.05\).

RESULTS

Metformin, exercise training, and the combination on body weight, adiposity, and food intake. Although treatment with metformin did reduce body weight compared with O-SED, the reduction was less robust than with exercise training (5 vs. 15% reductions, respectively, \(P < 0.001\); Fig. 1B), and metformin treatment alone had no effect on body composition or fat pad mass (Fig. 1, C and D) compared with significant reductions seen in exercising animals (Fig. 1, A and D). Only endurance exercise training elicited increases in the heart weight/body weight ratio (2.77 ± 0.08 vs. 3.25 ± 0.06 for O-SED and O-EndEx, respectively, \(P < 0.05\)). These parameters were not altered further in the combination group.

Average weekly food consumption differed among treatment groups (\(P < 0.001\); Fig. 1G), with O-SED consuming significantly more food than all other groups. The combination of aerobic exercise training and metformin initially reduced absolute (Fig. 1E) and relative food intake (Fig. 1F); however, this difference was normalized by the 6th wk of treatment. Both metformin treatment and EndEx reduced average weekly food intake ~5–10% (\(P > 0.05\), O-EndEx vs. O-SED + M), with the combination of treatments further reducing food intake (~10–15% lower than O-EndEx and O-Sed + M, \(P < 0.001\)).

Treatments effects on serum lipid and markers of type 2 diabetes. Exercise training reduced fasting serum TG and free fatty acid (FFA) concentrations compared with O-SED (\(P < 0.001\)), normalizing the former to the level witnessed in the lean LETO control animals (Table 1). Metformin treatment alone had no effect on either serum TG or FFA concentrations and, when added to EndEx training, had no additive effects. Both metformin (\(P < 0.001\)) and exercise training (\(P < 0.001\)) reduced Hb A\(_{1c}\) levels, a marker of long-term glycemic control, compared with O-SED, with the combination of the two treatments normalizing Hb A\(_{1c}\) to the level of the lean controls (Table 1). However, only exercise training reduced fasting glucose (\(P < 0.001\)) and insulin (\(P < 0.01\)) concentrations, and the combination of treatments provided no added benefits when compared with EndEx alone (Table 1). It should be noted that insulin values in these 32-wk-old O-SED animals reflect an ~40% reduction compared with values at 20 wk of age (O-SED, 20 wk of insulin = 10.91 ± 1.41 ng/ml), highlighting a transition to pancreatic β-cell dysfunction and frank type 2 diabetes development not witnessed in the LETO animals or in any of the OLETF treatment groups during the 12 wk of treatment. Moreover, reductions in glucose and insulin areas under the curve after the IPGTT were seen only in the EndEx and EndEx + M groups, with no additional benefits beyond EndEx alone in the combination group (Fig. 2, B and D). These alterations were not associated with changes in basal hepatic Akt protein content or Akt Ser\(^{473}\) or Thr\(^{308}\) phosphorylation status (data not shown).

Treatment with exercise, metformin, or the combination on NAFLD. Both metformin treatment and EndEx reduced hepatic lipid accumulation (representative H & E images; note that there is less lipid vacuolization in treatment groups compared with O-SED; Fig. 3A). Biochemical TG analyses revealed similar findings (Fig. 3B). O-EndEx animals did show ~40% further reductions in hepatic TGs compared with metformin alone (\(P < 0.01\); Fig. 3B), with no further benefit in the combination group. EndEx training also reduced hepatic DAG content ~40% compared with the SED and SED + M groups (\(P < 0.01\); Fig. 3C), again with no observed additional benefit when metformin was combined with EndEx. Metformin treatment tended to lower saturated DAG content (\(P = 0.078\)), whereas EndEx lowered both saturated and unsaturated DAGs to levels of the lean control animals (\(P < 0.01\)). Finally, all three treatment groups had similar effects on lowering serum ALT concentrations, a marker used to evaluate liver injury, compared with SED (Fig. 3D).

TR4 and gluconeogenic gene expression. Metformin is thought to exert its antidiabetic effects by activating AMPK, subsequently inhibiting nuclear receptor TR4 and downregulating mitochondrial respiration and hepatic gluconeogenesis (20, 38). In the current study, we saw no treatment effect on AMPK activation status (AMPK Thr\(^{172}\) phosphorylation; data not shown). However, metformin effectively reduced TR4 mRNA expression and protein content (\(P < 0.05\); Fig. 4, A and B). This was in contrast to the O-EndEx and O-EndEx + Met groups, where TR4 protein content was elevated compared with other groups (~40%, \(P < 0.01\); Fig. 4, A and B). Although we observed group differences for TR4, we found no differences between groups for gene expression of the gluconeogenic markers PEPCK or G6Pase in the fasting condition after 12 wk of treatment with treadmill exercise training, metformin, or the combination (data not shown).

Measurements of hepatic mitochondrial content and function. To explore potential mechanisms by which metformin and exercise training attenuated NAFLD, we assessed several indices of hepatic mitochondrial content and function. None of the treatments provided significant benefits for the hepatic protein content of PGC-1α or cytochrome c compared with O-SED (data not shown). In addition, although metformin alone did not increase indices of hepatic mitochondrial function, exercise increased citrate synthase activity (\(P < 0.05\)), β-HAD activity (\(P < 0.001\)), and complete oxidation of palmitate to CO\(_2\) (\(P < 0.05\)). Exercise and the combination group also exhibited significant reductions in total extramitochon-
Fig. 1. The effects of metformin, exercise training, or the combination on body weight, adiposity, and food intake. Weekly body weights (A), final body weight (B), %body fat (C), fat pad mass (omental + retroperitoneal + epididymal; D), absolute food intake (E), relative food intake (F), and average weekly food intake (G). All values are means ± SE. Values with different letters are significantly different; \( P < 0.05 \). L-SED, Long-Evans Tokushima Otsuka rats in sedentary cage conditions; O-SED, OLETF rats in sedentary cage conditions; O-SED + M, OLETF rats plus metformin; O-EndEx, OLETF rats with moderate-intensity exercise training; O-EndEx + M, OLETF rats with moderate-intensity exercise training plus metformin; DEXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.
drial palmitate oxidation (acid-soluble metabolites + CO₂, \( P < 0.05 \); Fig. 4, C–F) compared with O-SED. However, combining metformin with exercise resulted in significant impairments in exercise-induced adaptations in \( \text{HAD} \) activity (\( P < 0.001 \), O-EndEx vs. O-EndEx + M) and complete oxidation of palmitate (\( P < 0.05 \), O-EndEx vs. O-EndEx + M).

Treatment effects on markers of fatty acid uptake, de novo lipogenesis, and TG synthesis. We also sought to examine other known contributing factors to NAFLD development. Exercise training was effective in lowering hepatic CD36/FAT protein content, a protein important for the cellular uptake of fatty acids (\( P < 0.05 \); Fig. 5A), as well as dramatically lowering the protein content of de novo fatty acid synthesis markers ACC and FAS (Fig. 5, B and C). These effects were not witnessed in the metformin-treated animals, and the addition of metformin to EndEx provided no further benefits compared with EndEx alone. Examination of TG synthesis markers found no differences among groups for either GPAT1 mRNA expression or DGAT2 protein content (Fig. 5, D and F). However, and somewhat surprisingly, reduced levels of DGAT1 protein content in O-SED animals compared with L-SED animals were ablated in the O-EndEx + M group (Fig. 5E), suggestive of enhanced capacity to convert hepatic DAG to TG. In addition, metformin treatment, exercise training, and the combination were effective in reducing hepatic SCD-1 mRNA expression and protein content compared with O-SED (Fig. 5, G and H), with exercise training eliciting more robust reductions (−70 vs. −40% EndEx vs. Sed + M, \( P < 0.05 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

This is one of the first reports to compare the effectiveness of combining two of the initial treatment strategies in the management of type 2 diabetes (metformin and exercise train-

---

### Table 1. Fasting blood and serum parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>L-SED</th>
<th>O-SED</th>
<th>O-SED + M</th>
<th>O-EndEx</th>
<th>O-EndEx + M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride, mg/dl</td>
<td>43.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>344.0 ± 41.4</td>
<td>337.4 ± 46.1</td>
<td>121.1 ± 14.5</td>
<td>125.3 ± 25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free fatty acids, mmol/l</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mg/dl</td>
<td>152.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>298.0 ± 13.6</td>
<td>268.9 ± 17.5</td>
<td>217.9 ± 14.4</td>
<td>189.3 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, ng/ml</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.41</td>
<td>7.13 ± 0.83</td>
<td>7.08 ± 1.01</td>
<td>4.21 ± 0.47</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb A₁c, % glycosylated</td>
<td>4.82 ± 0.11</td>
<td>6.88 ± 0.28</td>
<td>5.34 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.99 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE (\( n = 8–10 \)/group). L-SED, Long-Evans Tokushima Otsuka rats in sedentary cage conditions; O-SED, OLETF rats in sedentary cage conditions; O-SED + M, OLETF rats plus metformin; O-EndEx, OLETF rats with moderate-intensity exercise training; O-EndEx + M, OLETF rats with moderate-intensity exercise training plus metformin. Values with different superscripted letters are significantly different; \( P < 0.05 \).
ing) on NAFLD outcomes in an animal model of type 2 diabetes. Both metformin treatment and aerobic exercise training were effective in preventing the progression of type 2 diabetes and treating NAFLD in the OLETF rat, as indicated by improved Hb A1c and lowered hepatic lipid accumulation. However, aerobic exercise training was more effective than metformin administration in part through global improvements in both fasting and postchallenge glycemic control as well as increases in hepatic mitochondrial function and reductions in markers of hepatic fatty acid uptake and de novo fatty acid synthesis. Surprisingly, our findings highlight little evidence for the additive effects of combining metformin and aerobic exercise training on these outcomes and actually suggest potential impairments in exercise-induced hepatic mitochondrial adaptations.

It is estimated that between 70 and 80% of type 2 diabetics also have NAFLD (reviewed in Ref. 44). Current recommendations for the treatment of type 2 diabetes and NAFLD include lifestyle modifications such as exercise training and/or dietary changes. Although exercise training can help to treat NAFLD and type 2 diabetes (13, 18, 24), compliance is often difficult, and those individuals who lose weight often regain it back quickly (16, 54). Because of this trend, a better understanding of the potential use of pharmacological strategies in combination with lifestyle improvements is needed.

Metformin is one possible pharmacological candidate because limited research supports its efficacy in the treatment of NAFLD in adults at risk for type 2 diabetes (22) and with early liver disease (31). Recent clinical investigations have shown that the combination of lifestyle and metformin treatments may have greater benefits on reducing body weight (29), and recent work on rodents suggest that the combination may promote the secretion of healthy adipokines like IL-10 (17). However, the combination of these treatments may not provide additional benefits on lowering fasting insulin (29, 30), circulating TGs (30), or metabolic syndrome prevalence (30). Moreover, recent studies have demonstrated that metformin when taken in combination with lifestyle interventions blunts exercise-induced improvements in whole body insulin sensitivity (48), adipose tissue insulin sensitivity (48), and circulating FFAs (29) in humans. In addition, metformin appears to blunt exercise training adaptations in reducing serum inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (30). Our findings support this previous work, where we show that the combination of metformin and aerobic exercise training provided no further improvements in adiposity, blood lipids, markers of short-and-long term inflammation, and liver histology.

![Fig. 3. Treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with metformin, exercise training, or the combination.](http://ajpendo.physiology.org/)
long-term glycemic control, or hepatic TG or serum ALTs compared with exercise training alone. Furthermore, metformin blunted exercise-induced adaptations in hepatic mitochondrial function, as assessed by β-HAD activity and complete palmitate oxidation. These data are intriguing and warrant future investigation in both animal models and clinical trials to determine their potential health implications because they may be of great importance in the management of human NAFLD during the early stages of type 2 diabetes.

Metformin is thought to activate AMPK, inhibiting nuclear receptor TR4 transactivation and downregulating mitochondrial respiration to exert its antidiabetic effects through lower PEPCK and hepatic gluconeogenesis (20, 27, 38). Similar to previous reports, metformin reduced hepatic TR4 gene expression and protein content in the OLETF rat; however, these reductions in TR4 were not accompanied by improved glycemic control following a glucose challenge. Although metformin often improves fasting glucose, previous studies have been equivocal in metformin’s ability to improve glycemic responses during glucose challenges, with metformin treatment providing no improvements in either humans (2, 19) or animal models (12, 21). This may be due in part to an inability of metformin treatment to improve insulin signaling within skeletal muscle (19) and/or reduce endogenous glucose production (2) during these trials. In the present report, no differences were observed in basal hepatic total Akt or phosphorylated Akt protein content or fasting gluconeogenic gene expression following metformin treatment, which may partially explain the lack of improvement in fasting glucose concentrations and glycemic control following a glucose challenge.

We report for the first time, to our knowledge, that aerobic exercise training increased hepatic TR4 protein content, and these increases were maintained when metformin treatment was combined with exercise training. TR4 has been shown to
play a vital role in mitochondrial function (28), and although speculative, exercise-induced increases in hepatic mitochondrial function/respiration may be mediated in part through TR4-dependent mechanisms. Further investigations are needed to better understand the health implications of these exercise-induced increases in TR4.

This investigation assessed several pathways and known contributors to NAFLD, including markers of mitochondrial function, hepatic fatty acid uptake, and hepatic de novo lipogenesis (40). We have shown previously that mitochondrial dysfunction precedes hepatic steatosis development in OLETF rats under sedentary conditions (43). Observations in the current investigation support our previous work (4, 41, 42) and show that treatment of NAFLD with endurance exercise training improves hepatic mitochondrial function. However, the observed improvements in mitochondrial function were modest compared with changes obtained when we employed chronic voluntary wheel running to prevent NAFLD (41, 46). Additionally, metformin treatment alone did not elicit improvements in any of these variables of hepatic mitochondrial function, likely due to reductions in TR4 (28), and blunted exercise training adaptations when taken in combination. Together, these findings suggest that mitochondrial adaptations likely contribute to exercise-induced but not metformin-in-
duced improvements in NAFLD. The metformin-induced reductions in β-HAD activity and TR4 likely contribute to reduced complex I activity and blunt exercise-induced improvements in mitochondrial oxidative capacity within the liver when treatments are combined. These findings are of importance given the recent focus on mitochondrial function in maintaining metabolic health (42, 43, 45).

Fatty acid uptake and de novo lipogenesis have also been linked to the development and progression of NAFLD. In fact, the fatty acid transporter CD36/FAT is upregulated in NAFLD patients (33) and in the obese OLETF rat (26), which could promote the uptake of circulating fatty acids that are associated with obesity. Additionally, recent work has indicated that >25% of hepatic TG accumulation can be accounted for by de novo lipogenesis in NAFLD patients (9). We found that only exercise training reduced CD36/FAT protein content in the hyperphagic OLETF rat. Similar to our previous findings using daily wheel running as a preventative therapy (42, 46), treatment with exercise training also suppressed the protein content of the de novo fatty acid synthesis markers FAS and ACC. These improvements were not seen in the metformin-treated animals. However, both metformin treatment and exercise training lowered SCD-1, a candidate known to contribute to the abnormal partitioning of fatty acids by increasing ACC activity and decreasing fatty acid oxidation, shunting substrates to fatty acid synthesis (8, 15). TR4 is also known to regulate SCD-1 activity (20) decreasing fatty acid oxidation, shunting substrates to fatty acid synthesis off fatty acids by increasing ACC activity and training lowered SCD-1, a candidate known to contribute to the observed reductions in hepatic TG accumulation in metformin-treated animals. Interestingly, exercise training increased hepatic TR4 but also lowered hepatic SCD-1, findings that suggest that metformin-induced and exercise-induced changes in SCD-1 may occur through different mechanisms. Collectively, the dramatic impact of exercise on these pathways and the modest effects seen in the metformin-treated animals are likely major contributors to differences in treatment-induced changes in hepatic TGs in these low-fat, chow-fed hyperphagic animals.

Finally, we examined the expression of several key enzymes involved in TG synthesis, because lipid intermediates such as DAGs may contribute to the metabolic dysregulation associated with NAFLD (reviewed in Ref. 37). It has been shown that DGAT1 is important for the synthesis of TGs from exogenous fatty acids, whereas DGAT2 allows for the incorporation of de novo synthesized fatty acids into TGs (39, 53). In the present report, hepatic DGAT1 content was reduced in the O-SED and O-SED + M animals and was associated with increased hepatic DAG content, whereas EndEx and the combination of EndEx + M reduced hepatic DAG content and the combination of EndEx + M normalized hepatic DGAT1 content to the lean control animals. These findings support our previous findings that hepatic DAG content is elevated in sedentary OLETF rats (4, 46) and suggest that this observed elevation in DAGs may result from a reduction in a final committed step in TG synthesis. Further investigation is needed to better understand the potential health implications of these findings in humans with NAFLD.

In summary, metformin and aerobic exercise training were effective in the management of type 2 diabetes and NAFLD progression witnessed in sedentary OLETF rats. However, aerobic exercise training was more effective than metformin treatment alone at improving fasting and postchallenge glycemic control, highlighting the importance of physical activity as a therapy in the early stages of type 2 diabetes and NAFLD. Additionally, exercise training was more effective at modulating several key pathways known to contribute to NAFLD development, including hepatic mitochondria, fatty acid uptake, and de novo fatty acid synthesis. Surprisingly, our findings highlight little evidence for additive effects of combining metformin and aerobic exercise training on these outcomes in this model of obesity, type 2 diabetes, and NAFLD and actually suggest potential impairments in exercise-induced hepatic mitochondrial adaptations.
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