Insulin regulates its own delivery to skeletal muscle by feed-forward actions on the vasculature
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SEVERAL RELATIVELY RECENT REVIEWS relate to insulin’s vascular action. That by Muniyappa et al. (68) focuses particularly on pathways of insulin signaling in both endothelial and smooth muscle cells and how these molecular events explain insulin’s vasodilatory action. A review by Clark (21) focuses on insulin as a regulator of nutritive vs. non-nutritive flow and the methodologies that have been developed and applied to skeletal muscle in order to address this role of insulin. We also recently summarized work relating to insulin’s vascular action: in particular we focused on the temporal relationship between insulin delivery and insulin-mediated glucose disposal in skeletal muscle, arguing that insulin delivery to muscle is the rate limiting step for insulin action in that tissue (8). Readers are referred to those reviews for a comprehensive discussion of each topic. Here, we will focus first on insulin’s vasodilatory effects as they relate to insulin’s ability to regulate the delivery of both itself and nutrients to the tissue microvasculature where nutrient exchange occurs. We will then focus on transendothelial insulin movement, as this step appears to be rate limiting for insulin action in skeletal muscle. We will not deal with insulin’s direct actions on vascular smooth muscle cells, as this has been well covered in a recent review (2). We will consider the relationship between insulin’s effects on skeletal muscle microvascular perfusion and on transendothelial transport as potential regulatory steps in insulin action on skeletal muscle glucose metabolism. We would also emphasize that insulin affects a number of other substrates (e.g., amino acids, triglyceride/FFA) and insulin’s delivery would presumably impact these variables as well. For each of these substrates the control systems regulating delivery and tissue uptake are likely to be quite complex. This is emphasized by work from the laboratories of Wasserman (110) and Kelley (11, 12, 116). Both laboratories have provided evidence for a distributed control of glucose’s metabolism between tissue delivery, transit through the interstitium, plasma membrane glucose transport, and hexokinase activity. Typically, these measurements are made under conditions of either fasting or steady-state hyperinsulinemia and do not bear directly on the issue of the rate-limiting step for insulin’s action. Thus, this role of the microvasculature in insulin delivery is separate from the complex control of glucose transport/metabolism and underscores the complexity of the integrated metabolic system.

Insulin’s Vascular Actions: a Feed-Forward Pathway to Promote Insulin and Nutrient Delivery in Skeletal Muscle

Augmentation of blood flow to insulin target tissues. It is worth considering the differences in basal blood flow between different insulin-sensitive tissues. The liver and the myocardium each have a very substantial blood flow in the basal state (~1 ml·min⁻¹·g tissue⁻¹). The islets of Langerhans have an even more luxuriant blood flow that is estimated to be about 5–12 mL/min/g of tissue (45). By contrast, skeletal muscle and adipose tissue basal blood flow averages only 0.03–0.04 ml·min⁻¹·g tissue⁻¹ (Fig. 1) (4). As a result, blood flow (or for insulin and some other solutes, plasma flow) can become an important factor limiting nutrient delivery and solute exchange.
to both muscle and adipose. We became aware of this more than a decade ago while conducting studies on the impact of raising plasma amino acid concentrations on the kinetics of skeletal muscle protein metabolism assessed using labeled amino acids (33). Based on the muscle clearance of labeled leucine and phenylalanine, it was quite clear that, due to the slow plasma flow, it required several hours for increases in plasma amino acid concentrations to equilibrate with the extracellular and intracellular water within skeletal muscle. Thus, for either labeled or unlabeled amino acids, measurements made at earlier times intrinsically are done at a nonsteady state. With exercise, muscle regulates its perfusion by increasing its blood flow up to 30- to 50-fold above basal. This allows both oxygen and nutrient delivery as well as the removal of metabolic products to match the needs of the tissue. In addition to increasing the total blood flow, exercise also recruits previously underperfused capillary beds (discussed below). We have observed that this capillary recruitment induced by muscle contraction is exquisitely sensitive to even very low workloads (44, 105). Indeed, in the rat hindlimb as well as in human forearm skeletal muscle, we have found that muscular contraction at workloads that do not appreciably affect total muscle blood flow expand the microvascular volume perfused within muscle two- to threefold. It appears that, when the need for nutrient and oxygen exchange has been only very modestly increased, the initial vascular response by skeletal muscle is to increase microvascular volume. Following this, an increase in total blood flow constitutes a second-stage response when metabolic demand is greater.

Such a staged vascular response may afford several advantages to muscle. First, solute exchange can be improved in the absence of changes in total blood flow or requirements for increases in cardiac output. In addition, by allowing more uniform flow distribution within the muscle, all muscle fibers would equally share the available nutrients presented. This may be the case with modest levels of exercise as well as in the postprandial state. There is a lack of agreement in the literature with regard to whether muscle total blood flow increases in the postprandial state. Some reports indicate a significant increase in skeletal muscle blood flow following meals (35, 43), whereas others fail to see such a response (37). We examined in both healthy and obese subjects the meal-related response of microvascular perfusion in addition to total blood flow (50). The obese subjects were significantly insulin resistant. While healthy subjects had an increase in both total muscle blood flow as well as microvascular perfusion following meal ingestion, this was not the case for the obese subjects.

**Insulin relaxes resistance vessels and enhances total skeletal muscle flow.** Interest in insulin’s vascular action was initially provoked by careful studies by Baron and colleagues (6, 55). They observed a strong correlation between leg blood flow, measured using a thermodilution method, and insulin-mediated glucose disposal. The thermodilution method allowed frequent measurement of blood flow without disturbing the flow, as occurs with plethysmographic methods. This may have enhanced their ability to detect changes in blood flow induced by insulin. In an impressive series of studies, they showed that leg blood flow responses to insulin closely paralleled leg insulin-mediated glucose disposal in control subjects. Most significantly, obesity (55) and type 1 (5) and type 2 diabetes (21, 56) appeared to proportionately diminish insulin-mediated blood flow and glucose disposal in the leg. They also observed that these leg blood flow responses to insulin were blocked by concomitant infusion of the nitric oxide (NO) synthase (NOS) inhibitor L-NMMA (97). In subsequent studies, they observed that raising plasma free fatty acid concentrations, which induced metabolic insulin resistance, also impaired insulin-mediated increases in leg blood flow (99).

As additional evidence accumulated indicating a link between a vascular action of insulin to enhance total limb blood flow and insulin’s metabolic action, contrary findings were also reported. In particular, those reports raised the concern that increasing blood flow did not result in augmentation of insulin’s metabolic action in healthy or insulin-resistant individuals (57, 69, 71) and that the time course for onset of insulin-induced increases in limb blood flow (particularly at physiological insulin concentrations) lagged behind insulin-induced increases in skeletal muscle glucose uptake (120). As a result, it was not possible to resolve whether total limb blood flow played a critical role in regulating delivery of either insulin or nutrients to skeletal muscle.

**Insulin relaxes terminal arterioles in muscle to increase microvascular perfusion.** As the question of whether insulin action on limb blood flow was an important determinant of muscle glucose disposal became mired in controversy, our laboratory, in collaboration with Steve Rattigan and Michael Clark, took the approach of addressing whether insulin might be affecting skeletal muscle more by regulating microvascular perfusion and changing flow distribution rather than by altering total limb blood flow. Two considerations prompted this question. The first was the recognition that earlier studies had indicated that a significant fraction of skeletal muscle microvasculature was underperfused in the resting state and that muscle contraction “recruits” flow to these underperfused vessels (41, 42). We thought that insulin might, like contraction, call on a microvascular reserve. A second consideration, which followed from the first, was that increasing the volume of microvasculature perfused should, by increasing the endothelial surface available for insulin and nutrient exchange, directly enhance nutrient delivery to muscle even in the absence of changes in total blood flow. This could potentially serve as a more effective means of promoting solute delivery than increasing total blood flow, as the latter significantly augments delivery when the extraction ratio for solute is high (e.g., oxygen extraction by cardiac muscle) but has less impact when the extraction ratio is low (e.g., skeletal muscle insulin extraction is only ~10%).

A limiting factor to investigating actions of insulin on the microvasculature was the lack of a validated method for measuring microvascular perfusion in vivo. This topic was extensively reviewed by Clark (21). We initially developed a
biochemical method based on the single-pass extraction of 1-methylxanthine and its conversion to 1-methylyurate as plasma coursed from the arterial to the venous circulation through skeletal muscle. We were encouraged by our first studies using the 1-methylxanthine method, which showed an effect of euglycemic hyperinsulinemia to “recruit” microvasculature within rat hindlimb skeletal muscle (82). Unfortunately, the volume of blood needed to measure 1-methylxanthine limited the number of samples that could be obtained for studies in rodents, and the clearance of 1-methylxanthine by human skeletal muscle is substantially lower than in the rodent, presumably because of a lower content of xanthine oxidase. As a result, this technique could not be easily adapted to clinical studies.

Subsequently, we adapted the contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEU) method that had been extensively used in cardiac muscle (113) to measure microvascular blood volume within skeletal muscle. This technique offered the advantages of allowing repeated measurements over time and of being readily adaptable to clinical studies. CEU relies on the enhanced contrast afforded by intravenously infused lipid-coated microbubbles filled with perfluorocarbon gas. These microbubbles, which average 1–3 μm in diameter, course through the entire vasculature but are excluded from extravascular compartments. As such, the intensity of the contrast-enhanced signal provides an index of the volume of microvasculature perfused. Because these bubbles can be disrupted by a high-energy ultrasound pulse, it is possible to follow the time course for the refilling of a microvascular bed and from that to estimate both microvascular blood volume and the rate of flow of microbubbles into a vascular bed (113). In this fashion, multiple measurements can be made over time.

Using two independent techniques [laser Doppler flowmetry (20) and CEU (106)], we confirmed our initial findings of insulin’s effect to recruit microvasculature in rats and then extended our studies to human skeletal muscle using the CEU method (25). As is the case for insulin’s effect on resistance vessels and total blood flow, microvascular recruitment was dependent on insulin activation of endothelial (e)NOS (104). However, the effect on the microvasculature occurred much sooner than insulin’s action to increase total blood flow (106) and was evident at lower insulin concentrations than required to increase total blood flow in the rat (122). Currently, we do not have a satisfactory explanation for the differences in time course and insulin sensitivity that we observed between terminal arterioles and resistance vessels, both of which are dependent on insulin signaling to enhance endothelial NO release (21). Interestingly, we observed that, despite high basal blood flow in the myocardium, raising the plasma insulin concentration effectively increased microvascular perfusion in cardiac as well as skeletal muscle (60, 61).

It is worth noting that these techniques [as well as others reviewed by Clark (21)] provide a measure of microvascular perfusion. They do not provide a direct visualization of the component vessels of the microvasculature. The microvasculature within skeletal muscle has been studied extensively using exteriorized thin muscle preparations (e.g., spinotrapezus and cremaster). However, these have not proved particularly useful for studying insulin’s vascular action, and this may be secondary to changes in flow pattern that result from the surgical preparation and perfusion of the tissue (22). The technique of nail-fold video microscopy has allowed direct quantification in humans of the number of individual capillaries perfused in the presence and absence of insulin, albeit in skin and not muscle tissue (88). However, using this method, investigators have observed that the action of insulin on the skin microvasculature is also impacted negatively by insulin resistance as seen in metabolic syndrome (87) and obesity (26, 27). These direct observations of insulin-induced “capillary recruitment” in skin microvasculature is consistent with the conclusion that changes in microvascular volume quantified using CEU likely reflect a similar process within skeletal muscle rather than an increase in the functional diameter of previously opened capillaries.

**Insulin increases the compliance of conduit arteries.** Until this point, we have focused the discussion on the action of insulin on resistance vessels and terminal arterioles, as there are extensive data demonstrating a significant physiological action of insulin on each of these. There is also a modest body of data to indicate that insulin has physiological actions on conduit arteries. This is of potential importance given the association between insulin resistance and accelerated atherosclerosis. Indeed, in a recent study, it was demonstrated that elimination of the insulin receptor specifically within the endothelium greatly accelerated the atherosclerotic process in apoE−/− mice (81). Insulin also exerts an acute effect to increase the compliance of conduit arteries; this effect was nicely shown using aplanation tonometry before and during a euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp (115). Raising plasma insulin has also been shown to increase the responsiveness of the femoral artery to methacholine-induced vasodilation (98). These latter actions of insulin have been demonstrated in healthy humans. We currently do not know whether the relationship between impaired endothelial insulin signaling in the vasculature and atherosclerosis seen in the apoE−/− mice translates to a similar risk in humans.

**Insulin resistance is associated with dysfunction at all levels of the arterial vasculature.** As noted above, Baron and colleagues observed that in multiple clinical circumstances metabolic insulin resistance was accompanied by vascular insulin resistance and that there appeared to be a significant correlation between these two entities. That work focused specifically on the relationship between metabolic insulin resistance and resistance to insulin’s vasodilatory action on resistance arterioles. This suggestion that the impaired vascular responses to insulin might be contributing to the impaired metabolic response spurred considerable interest in further exploring insulin’s vascular actions. We now understand (as reviewed above) that insulin exerts a physiological action at all levels of the arterial vascular tree. We also understand that insulin resistance is accompanied by dysfunction at each level (Fig. 2). At the level of conduit arteries, an extensive body of data indicates that metabolic insulin resistance, as seen in obesity, metabolic syndrome, and type 1 and type 2 diabetes, is accompanied by dysfunction that is manifest by increased vascular stiffness and/or impaired NO-mediated vasodilation (95, 102, 112, 114). In addition, the responsiveness of these vessels to insulin is impaired (114). Conduit vessel insulin resistance does not play any known pathogenetic role in metabolic insulin resistance; each is a distinct reflection of the general process of insulin resistance. Moreover, the demonstration of increased atherosclerosis within the conduit arteries of the apoE−/− mice that...
endothelial cell possesses GH receptors that, when stimulated, directly activate eNOS (59). Microvascular perfusion was not assessed in those studies, as the techniques for doing so had not yet been developed. In the rat hindlimb, we have observed that epinephrine, infused at a rate selected to raise total limb blood flow to a similar extent to that seen with insulin, did not mimic insulin’s action to recruit microvasculature (82). Thus, it is possible to independently relax resistance vessels and increase total flow without impacting terminal arterioles and flow distribution. Conversely, we have observed that low-dose insulin infusions in both humans and rodents relax terminal arterioles and increase microvascular volume without increasing total limb blood flow (122). Low-intensity exercise appears to have a similar effect.

We have recently observed that infusion of angiotensin II, at a dose that does not raise arterial blood pressure substantially, increases muscle microvascular blood volume (17). Interestingly, nearly 20 years ago, Buchanan and colleagues had observed that, during hyperinsulinemia, angiotensin II infusion increased leg muscle glucose uptake in humans; they postulated that it might be acting to recruit microvasculature and thereby enhance glucose and insulin delivery to muscle (14). Equally interesting was the observation that blockade of the type 1 angiotensin receptor increased microvascular blood volume and muscle glucose uptake (measured by arterial venous difference across the rat hindlimb), whereas blockade of the type 2 angiotensin receptor decreased microvascular blood volume and muscle glucose extraction (17). This suggests that basal microvascular tone within skeletal muscle is significantly dependent on the balance between the activities of these two receptors.

How Does Insulin Exit the Vasculature?

Why should we care?. We (8) have previously argued that the movement of insulin from the vascular to the interstitial compartment within skeletal muscle is rate limiting for insulin’s metabolic action. Support for this argument is extensive and includes the early demonstration by Sherwin et al. (91) that the disappearance kinetics of intravenously injected insulin indicated a rapid equilibration of injected insulin within plasma and visceral tissue compartments but a slow equilibration within skeletal muscle. This early indication was further supported by the careful insulin clamp studies from Olefsky’s laboratory demonstrating the slow onset of insulin’s effect to enhance glucose disposal (half-time 44 min) in healthy young adults that is further delayed (half-time 75–95 min) in insulin-resistant obese and type 2 diabetic subjects (31, 64, 70, 73). Work from Bergman’s laboratory subsequently demonstrated that, even at steady state during an insulin clamp, the muscle interstitial insulin concentration (as indexed by lymphatic insulin concentration) remains substantially lower than that of simultaneously sampled plasma (16, 117–119). This observation has been further confirmed in both human and experimental animal studies using microdialysis methods to directly sample interstitial fluid within muscle (36, 39, 40, 46, 93, 94). Finally, in a recent canine study it was observed that direct intramuscular injection of insulin resulted in a significantly more rapid onset of muscle glucose uptake compared with intravenous injection (19).
The finding that even after several hours of steady-state plasma hyperinsulinemia the interstitial insulin concentration remains at ~50% of that in plasma suggests that a steady state is reached where insulin inflow to muscle interstitial fluid from plasma is matched by the disappearance of insulin through either lymphatic removal or its uptake and degradation, presumably within the muscle cell itself.

We have measured the rate of insulin transport into human forearm skeletal muscle both in the fasting state and during a euglycemic insulin clamp by combining arterial/venous sampling with measurements of forearm blood flow (28). These studies indicated that 10–15% of the insulin entering muscle with arterial plasma is removed in a single pass at fasting levels of insulin. Considering that the extracellular volume within skeletal muscle is ~100 ml/kg (13) and resting skeletal muscle plasma flow is ~18 ml·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹, it is readily appreciated that with a 10–15% extraction ratio it will require ~50 min for the interstitial insulin concentration to reach 50% of arterial concentration. This assumes that none of the extracted insulin is degraded either during transit across the vessel wall or after reaching the muscle interstitium. Such a time agrees closely with the measured half-time for insulin’s action on muscle glucose disposal noted above. At the present time, reliable measurements of insulin uptake/clearance by skeletal muscle in insulin-resistant humans and animals are not available. It would be anticipated that decreased microvascular perfusion, as we have observed in insulin-resistant humans and animals, could interfere with muscle insulin uptake.

During the studies above, we noted that when the insulin concentration was increased muscle insulin clearance declined. The latter suggests a saturable process for insulin transport. The question of whether insulin crossed the endothelium within muscle via a saturable process (presumably a process regulated by the endothelial cell) or via a nonsaturable diffusion-like process has been an area of some controversy. Below we will review information related to the general process of larger molecules crossing the endothelial barrier and how our understanding of this has evolved over the past two decades.

The endothelial architecture determines insulin’s access to a tissue. The fine structure of the vascular endothelium varies considerably within different vascular beds throughout the body (1). These structural differences impact the exchange of macromolecules between the plasma and tissue fluid. In the brain, for example, endothelial cells are joined by tight junctions, and there are very few caveolae (1). This may in part account for the very low rates of transport of large molecules into the brain and underlie the blood-brain barrier. At the opposite end of the spectrum, the liver has a discontinuous endothelium that includes gaps between endothelial cell membranes and a less well-developed basement membrane. This, combined with the high rate of blood flow to the liver (Fig. 1), may in part account for the rapid clearance of insulin by hepatic tissue (>50% single-pass extraction). This discontinuous endothelium allows for rapid exchange of both fluids and macromolecules between the two compartments. Skeletal muscle endothelium is somewhere in between those of brain and liver. It has a continuous endothelium with well-developed junctional structures. It also has abundant caveolae. Other tissues, for example the glomerular capillary bed of the kidney, have a fenestrated endothelium with discontinuities between endothelial cells bridged by a thin filamentous covering.

There are also differences in endothelial structure across a vascular bed within a given tissue. Thus, arterial and venous endothelial cells are more cuboidal than the very flattened endothelial cells of the capillary bed. Intercellular junctional structures are more developed on the arterial side of the circulation than are those of capillaries, and the venous endothelium has the least well-developed cell junctions. These structural differences impact the local movement of both macromolecules and cells across the endothelial barrier.

**Transendothelial transit of macromolecules.** In the early 1950s, Pappenheimer described an empirically based model for the movement of molecules varying in size from single ions to macromolecules out of the vasculature (72). On the basis of measurements of diffusability of solutes of different sizes, he argued that a simple model postulating the presence of both small and large pores could satisfactorily account for most of the observed movement of molecules from the vasculature into the interstitial space. Anatomic sites assignable to these pores were not identified.

These estimates predate the elegant electron microscopic studies of Palade (74) and the Simonescus (92). Their work demonstrated the presence of junctional structures between endothelial cells in a number of tissues including skeletal muscle. They also noted that tissues like liver and gut had a less continuous endothelium with either fenestrations joining adjacent cells or with actual separation between endothelial cells having only a basement membrane separating the vascular and interstitial space. Palade was also among the first to describe caveolae. These 70- to 90-nm diameter flask-like vesicles are quite abundant in the vascular endothelium of a variety of tissues. Over several decades, investigators provided compelling electron microscopic evidence that caveolae or caveolae-like vesicles could mediate transcytosis of macromolecules labeled with electron-dense tracers. Importantly, capillary endothelium has been shown to have a higher density of caveolae than that of arteries, arterioles, veins, and venules (10).

The identification of caveolin-1 as a structural protein involved in the formation/stability of caveolae and the finding that transendothelial albumin transfer was impaired in the endothelium of caveolin-1 KO (KO) mice significantly advanced the argument that caveolae participate in transendothelial transport of macromolecules (85). Inasmuch as there is also anatomic evidence that caveolae can fuse and form multivesicular organelles, this fusion process might also lead to either transient or persistent “channels” that cross the very narrow cytosol of the vascular endothelium. Thus, it remains a viable consideration that transcellular channels could form something like the pore postulated by Pappenheimer.

**Albumin as a prototype for the study of transendothelial transport.** The physiology as well as the cell and molecular biology of albumin transport across the vascular endothelium have been studied more intensively than that of any other macromolecule (63). As a 64-kDa protein with a Stoke’s radius of ~3.5 nm, it would, in the model of Pappenheimer, exit the vasculature through a large pore. However, when the movement of albumin was examined by electron microscopy, it was found that labeled albumin did not accumulate in the intercellular space, as might be expected had it crossed the endothelium by a paracellular pathway. Instead, labeled albumin was found within caveolae on the luminal side of the endothelium,
within intracellular vesicles and seemingly being released from vesicles at the basolateral membrane. There was some accumulation of labeled albumin at the introitus to the intercellular space between endothelial cells, but the tracer did not appear to transit the junctional structure at the luminal side.

When similar studies were done in the vasculature of caveolin-1 KO mice, no vesicles akin to caveolae were identifiable in the endothelial cell, and the endothelial cell uptake of labeled albumin was greatly diminished (85). However, these KO mouse tissues develop leaky junctional structures in continuous endothelia. This also occurs when caveolin-1 is knocked down acutely using siRNA in vivo (66), indicating that caveolin-1 plays some regulatory role in maintaining junctional integrity (76). The leaky junctions seen in the caveolin-1 KO mice may be secondary to excess NO production (caveolin-1 is an endogenous inhibitor of eNOS), as treatment of the caveolin-1 KO mice with l-NAME reverses the microvascular hyperpermeability (86). There is other evidence that NO regulates the integrity of endothelial cell junctions as the vasculature of the eNOS KO mouse develops a paracellular leak of albumin, and this is also seen with acute infusions of the NOS inhibitor l-NAME (75).

Subsequent extensive and elegant work from the laboratories of Malik, Minshall, and Predescu and colleagues (53, 63, 77, 89) have substantially enhanced our understanding of the regulation of transendothelial albumin transport. First, it must be appreciated that this process appears to have several components. At low albumin concentrations, there is a specific high-affinity, relatively low-capacity receptor that binds albumin on the surface of the endothelial cell. This triggers a signaling process within the endothelial cell that involves an SRC-kinase that initiates the phosphorylation of caveolin-1 on a specific tyrosine residue (101) and leads to activation of a GTPase (dynamin 2) that is known to be involved in the scission of caveolae from the plasma membrane. In addition, a variety of proteins known to be involved in vesicle trafficking in other systems have been identified as associated with caveolae within the endothelial cell. This includes Snap 23, syntaxin-4, intersectin-s, and dynamin-2 (77, 79).

When the concentration of albumin on the luminal surface of the endothelial cell is low, this receptor-mediated transport process appears to be a major contributor to overall transendothelial transport. However, as the albumin concentration is raised into the range seen physiologically (~0.4 mM), the bulk of albumin transport appears to occur via a fluid phase pinocytosis (48). This process also appears to involve caveolae.

**Cellular vs. paracellular transendothelial transport in muscle: whither goes insulin?**. Early experimental support for a transcellular pathway of insulin movement across the endothelium was provided by the studies of King and Johnson (52). Using monolayers of vascular endothelial cells, they demonstrated that radiiodinated insulin movement across the endothelium could be blocked by unlabeled insulin as well as by an antibody against the insulin receptor. This suggested both that the process of insulin’s movement across the endothelium was saturable and that the insulin receptor was involved in this process. Presumably, this involved a transcellular pathway. Some (84) but not all (65, 83) subsequent studies were able to duplicate these findings. Early evidence for a potential role of caveolae came from the finding that filipin, a sterol binding agent that disrupts caveolae but not chlathrin-coated vesicles, inhibited transendothelial transport of both insulin and albumin but not the paracellular marker inulin (84). However, it is difficult to draw firm conclusions from these studies with endothelial monolayers, as endothelial cells in culture do not necessarily mimic the behavior of the endothelium in vivo. In particular, they do not appear to form as tight a cellular monolayer as exists within the vasculature.

Of concern were experiments performed in vivo in which insulin concentrations in the lymphatic fluid were sampled during a moderately or very-high-dose euglycemic insulin clamp (96). If insulin traversed the endothelium via a saturable receptor-mediated process, a decline in the ratio of interstitial to plasma insulin concentrations would be expected as plasma insulin concentration rose. If insulin passively leaked out of the vasculature, then the ratio should remain constant, as predicted by simple diffusion. Surprisingly, neither outcome was observed. Instead, the ratio of interstitial (lymphatic) to plasma insulin concentrations significantly increased at very high plasma insulin concentrations (96). This observation has not been satisfactorily explained. A potential explanation for this seemingly anomalous experimental observation is that at very high insulin concentrations transendothelial insulin transport was being mediated by both the IGF-I receptors. IGF-I receptors are present in greater abundance than the insulin receptors in the vascular endothelium (18). We subsequently showed (using insulin concentrations of 50 nM) that both IGF-I and antibody to the IGF-I receptor could block uptake and transendothelial movement of insulin across a monolayer composed of bovine aortic endothelial cells (107). This suggested that when insulin was present at sufficiently high concentrations the IGF-I receptor could mediate insulin transport.

Interestingly, another laboratory has measured the movement of 125I-labeled IGF-I across a monolayer composed of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (9). In that preparation, neither antibody to the IGF-I receptor nor unlabeled IGF-I interfered with movement of the labeled IGF-I across the monolayer. Furthermore, the rates of transport appeared similar for IGF-I and inulin (the latter was used as a marker for paracellular transport processes). Those investigators concluded that IGF-I passage across the endothelium occurred by passive diffusion through a paracellular pathway. This suggests that the type of endothelial cell used for these in vitro assays may influence the outcome. In particular, venous endothelia are generally known to be leakier than arterial endothelia.

Given that the rate of insulin entry into skeletal muscle interstitium appears to be the rate-limiting step for skeletal muscle insulin action, we thought it was important to reinvestigate whether insulin crossed the endothelium by a transcellular or a paracellular pathway. We have taken several approaches to evaluate this question and believe our studies have in the aggregate begun to define a complex pathway of insulin transendothelial transport.

First, we investigated in vivo whether insulin entered the endothelial cell (107). To accomplish this, we used a fluorescently-labeled insulin that maintains biological activity. This was infused intravenously in an overnight-fasted rat to reach concentrations sufficient to promote glucose disposal while maintaining euglycemia (insulin clamp). We then obtained serial biopsies of skeletal muscle that were rapidly fixed and examined by confocal microscopy. We found that within 10 min there was a striking localization of insulin in the endothelium.
We could find no evidence for fluorescent-tagged insulin entering the muscle interstitium between endothelial cells via the intercellular junctions.

In that same study, using cultured bovine aortic endothelial cells (107), we addressed whether insulin, when taken up by the vascular endothelial cell, was associated with insulin receptors and whether it was discretely or diffusely localized within the endothelial cell. We took advantage of the ability of confocal microscopy to optically section through the endothelial cell, and using a fluorescent-tagged insulin allowed us to identify insulin within the endothelial cell as opposed to on the endothelial cell surface. Not only did we observe colocalization between insulin and the insulin receptor, but both colocalized with caveolin-1. Furthermore, coimmunoprecipitation experiments indicated physical juxtaposition of the insulin receptor and caveolin-1. As caveolae had already been implicated in the transcytosis of albumin and other proteins, we considered that these vesicular structures might also be important mediators of transendothelial insulin transport. More recently, we have used total internal reflectance fluorescence microscopy (TIRF-M) to address whether insulin receptor and caveolin-1 colocalize on the endothelial cell plasma membrane. Figure 3 illustrates this colocalization. The TIRF-M images are focused within 80 nM of the cell surface, providing better assessment of caveolin-insulin receptor interactions in that specific cell domain. We observed very extensive overlap between caveolin-1 and insulin receptor immunoreactivity (Upchurch, unpublished data). Interestingly, in 3T3-L1 cells, Foti et al. (30) have reported specific localization of the insulin receptor to the neck of caveolae by using electron microscopy with immunogold labeling.

A note of caution with regard to these experiments using fluorescent-labeled insulin is that the sensitivity for measuring transport of the fluorescent tag requires the use of relatively high insulin concentrations (typically 10–100 nM). In addition, although the confocal microscopy of skeletal muscle biopsies clearly demonstrated intense cytoplasmic staining of insulin within the endothelial cell during its transendothelial transport, it was not possible to demonstrate colocalization of either insulin or insulin receptor with caveolae in these in vivo experiments. Whether the findings in the cultured endothelial cells translate directly to the in vivo circumstance is not yet resolved.

As the experiments above suggested that a cellular pathway involving the insulin receptor could be mediating insulin’s transendothelial transport in muscle in vivo, we reexamined this in a series of in vivo experiments and in endothelial cell cultures. In vivo experiments were performed both in rats and in humans. First, we took advantage of the observation made a number of years ago that the uptake of insulin by skeletal muscle could be measured in vivo in humans by using an arterial-venous sampling (49). We found that, in postabsorptive humans, by using brachial artery and deep forearm vein sampling, the extraction ratio for insulin across the forearm ranged from 10 to 15% (28). After obtaining basal measurements of forearm insulin uptake, we raised plasma insulin concentrations using the euglycemic insulin clamp method. Although insulin uptake increased, it did not increase in proportion to the rise in arterial insulin concentration (as would be predicted for a diffusional process). Instead, the extraction ratio and clearance for insulin by forearm muscle declined. This was indicative of a saturable process within the physiological range of insulin concentrations.

A limitation to this type of study is that measurements were made at steady state after several hours of hyperinsulinemia. This rendered it difficult to address whether the decline in tissue uptake was secondary to a process occurring at the endothelium or a limitation to insulin uptake by the myocyte. However, as discussed above, even after several hours of hyperinsulinemia, the insulin concentration in the muscle interstitium did not approach plasma concentrations. This would suggest that transport by the endothelium is in fact the limiting process and responsible for the decline in insulin clearance as insulin concentration increases (28).

Subsequently, we addressed directly the uptake of $^{125}$I-insulin by rat hindlimb skeletal muscle after only 5 min of exposure to labeled insulin. We reasoned that the very initial stages of muscle $^{125}$I-insulin uptake would be dominated by the behavior of the endothelium. This initial uptake of labeled insulin was measured in the presence and absence of a high concentration
of unlabeled insulin. The latter was given either as a bolus simultaneously with the $^{125}$I-insulin or as a 90-min high-dose euglycemic insulin clamp, with the $^{125}$I-insulin given during the last 5 min. In both circumstances, the unlabeled insulin significantly diminished the clearance of the labeled insulin. This finding is again consistent with a competitive process whereby unlabeled insulin decreases the uptake of the $^{125}$I-insulin and suggests involvement of the insulin receptor (Majumdar S, Genders A, and Barrett EJ., unpublished data).

We next examined transendothelial transport of 50 nM fluorescent-tagged insulin by using cultured bovine aortic endothelial cells grown on a Transwell device. We found that the transendothelial transport of fluorescent-tagged insulin was inhibited not only by unlabeled insulin but also by IGF-I and by an antibody against the extracellular domain of the IGF-I receptor. We also confirmed that both filipin and β-methylcyclodextrin (which disrupt caveolae) inhibited endothelial cell insulin uptake (107).

**Does insulin transport require insulin action?** We were intrigued by the observation that when albumin was present at low concentrations its uptake was dependent on both its binding to a specific receptor (Gp60) (101) and its activation of a kinase cascade that ultimately resulted in the phosphorylation of Tyr14 on caveolin-1. This prompted us to address whether insulin uptake by the endothelial cell required not only insulin binding to its receptor but also activating insulin signaling processes within the endothelial cell. As noted earlier, a great deal of work has demonstrated that insulin acts on the endothelial cell and can promote the release of both the vasodilator NO and the vasoconstrictor endothelin-1 (15). The release of NO results from the phosphorylation of a specific serine (Ser1177) residue in eNOS that increases enzyme activity in a calcium-independent fashion (67). The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI 3-kinase)/protein kinase B (PKB or Akt) pathway appears to be responsible for this, with Akt being able to directly phosphorylate Ser$^{1177}$ (121). Alternatively, the increase in endothelin-1 is secondary to a transcriptional regulation mediated via the extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) pathway. It has been suggested that selective insulin resistance involving the PI 3-kinase/Akt pathway could tip the balance of insulin’s vascular actions from a predominantly vasodilatory to a vasoconstrictor profile (47, 51).

![Fig. 4. Working model for transendothelial insulin transport.](http://ajpendo.physiology.org/)

We had previously found that culturing endothelial cells in serum-free media for 16 h enhanced our ability to detect insulin-mediated activation of Akt, eNOS, and ERK1/2 at physiological concentrations of insulin (58). Using cells cultured in this fashion, we examined the impact of inhibiting insulin action via the PI 3-kinase pathway (wortmannin), the ERK pathway (PD-98059), and the cSRC-kinase (PP1) as well as the effect of a general tyrosine kinase inhibitor (genistein) on the uptake of insulin by the endothelial cell. Each of these interfered with endothelial cell insulin uptake significantly. Conversely, inhibiting protein tyrosine phosphatase-1B enhanced endothelial cell insulin uptake (109). In aggregate, these findings suggested that insulin’s action on the endothelial cell was required for insulin to be taken into the cell. Interestingly, while the NOS inhibitor l-NAME blocked endothelial uptake of insulin, providing sodium nitroprusside (NO donor) was able to at least partially overcome the inhibitory effect of wortmannin, PD-98059, and PP1 on insulin uptake. More recently, we have found that siRNA knockdown of caveolin-1 also substantially decreased uptake of insulin by the endothelial cell, further supporting a role for vesicle-mediating insulin transport (108).

A significant concern with regard to insulin playing a role in modulating its own delivery to skeletal muscle interstitium was raised by the demonstration that specific deletion of the insulin receptor from endothelial cells using Cre-recombinase driven by the Tie-2 promoter did not appear to affect body glucose metabolism under normal dietary conditions (103). Likewise, the global eNOS KO mouse is only mildly insulin resistant (90). In both cases, no measurements of vascular function were made in those studies (either microvascular recruitment or insulin transport), and the insulin dosage used for the insulin clamp procedure to assess insulin sensitivity was relatively high. This raised the question of whether activation of the IGF-I receptor by insulin might be compensating for the insulin receptor deficiency (107). A very recent study (54) reexamined this issue with an endothelial-specific IRS-2 KO mouse. Unlike the previous studies, in this mouse, vascular function and insulin delivery were carefully studied. This mouse was insulin resistant, failed to recruit microvasculature in response to an insulin challenge, and demonstrated impaired delivery of $^{125}$I-labeled insulin into skeletal muscle. This suggested that down-
stream insulin signaling within the endothelium was important for both normal glucose homeostasis and vascular responsiveness. This was further indicated by the observation that restoration of downstream insulin signaling to eNOS in the endothelium corrected the insulin resistance as well as microvascular function within the muscle. The lack of frank diabetes in this murine model is perhaps not surprising, as it is known that even muscle-specific knockout of the insulin receptor resulted in a phenotypic pattern consistent with the metabolic syndrome without even glucose intolerance.

Impact of insulin resistance on insulin transendothelial transport in cultured endothelial cells. As noted earlier, the onset of insulin action during a euglycemic insulin clamp is delayed in insulin resistance states like obesity and type 2 diabetes. As the insulin action time course appears to be determined by insulin’s transendothelial transport, the question arises whether insulin resistance interferes with the endothelial transport of insulin. We have observed that the cytokine TNF-α interferes with insulin signaling within the endothelial cell, and this is in part due to activation of p38 MAP kinase. We have also observed that both TNF-α and IL-6 are able to diminish the uptake of insulin by the endothelial cell. Both also interfere with NO generation and with insulin-induced caveolin-1 translocation to the plasma membrane in the endothelial cell (108). These observations suggest that these and perhaps other inflammatory cytokines may exert part of their action to provoke insulin resistance by acting directly on the endothelial cell.

The action of cytokines like TNF-α and IL-6 to provoke vascular insulin resistance may be particularly pertinent when they are produced locally in the region of the muscle microvasculature. Studies focusing on perivascular adipose tissue within muscle suggest that adipocyte-derived cytokines acting locally may influence the balance between NO and endothelin-1 production in favor of endothelin-1 (3, 29). This could impair microvascular perfusion and/or transendothelial insulin transport within the muscle tissue.

Current model for insulin transendothelial transport in continuous endothelium. We close with a working model that we are using to test hypotheses regarding the cellular dynamics of insulin transendothelial transport (Fig. 4). Considerable in vivo and in vitro data indicate that insulin transits the endothelium in association with the insulin receptor. As noted above, this process requires not only receptor binding but activation of several protein kinase cascades downstream of insulin signaling. A significant fraction of the insulin receptor in endothelial cells appears to be associated with caveolae, and these vesicular structures are necessary for endothelial cell insulin uptake and transendothelial transport. This vesicle trafficking process may also be dependent on the cytoskeletal structure of the endothelial cell; in particular, microfilaments and/or microtubules may be involved in the transcellular movement of the insulin-containing vesicles. Dynamin-2 GTPase activity is required for separating caveolae from the plasma membrane, and we found that knockdown of dynamin-2 inhibits endothelial cell insulin uptake (H. Wang, unpublished observation).

We emphasize that Fig. 4 is simply a working model that affords the opportunity to test hypotheses related to the cellular mechanics of insulin transport. A great deal more work needs to be done to understand the regulation of this process in vivo. We have learned a good deal from cultured cell systems, and we are hopeful that they will continue to provide a faithful pathway to explore insulin’s vascular regulation in clinically relevant settings. Clearly, in the future it will be important to develop methods that allow testing multiple aspects of this model in a more physiological setting and eventually in clinical studies. If the model proposed has validity, it has broad implications for understanding skeletal muscle insulin resistance. It would indicate that the endothelium becomes important as a potential therapeutic target for the development of pharmaceutical agents that improve insulin resistance. The fact that endothelial insulin resistance impacts muscle glucose metabolism underscores the contribution of the endothelium to metabolic insulin resistance. Insulin resistance in the vasculature may also be contributing to the multiple vasculopathies encountered in diabetes from the microvascular pathology seen in retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy right up to the accelerated atherosclerosis in large and medium-size arteries.
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