Effects of prolonged fasting and sustained lipolysis on insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity in normal subjects
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Salgin B, Marcovecchio ML, Humphreys SM, Hill N, Chassin LJ, Lunn DJ, Hovorka R, Dunger DB. Effects of prolonged fasting and sustained lipolysis on insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity in normal subjects. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 296: E454–E461, 2009. Prolonged fasting and lipid breakdown would allow the study of the effects of changes in circulating free fatty acid (FFA) levels on insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity and whether any potential effects could be reversed by the antilipolytic agent acipimox. Fourteen (8 female, 6 male) healthy young adults (aged 22.8–26.9 yr) without a family history of diabetes and a body mass index of 22.6 ± 3.2 kg/m2 were studied on three occasions in random order. Growth hormone and FFA levels were regularly measured overnight (2200–0759), and subjects underwent an intravenous glucose tolerance test in the overnight fast, which led to decreases in insulin sensitivity [5.7 (3.6–8.9) vs. 35.5 (21.6–49.4) *102 pmol/mU/l *min, P < 0.0001], and therefore a reduction in the disposition index [93.1 (64.8–121.4) vs. 35.5 (21.6–49.4) *102 pmol/mU/l, P < 0.0001]. Administration of acipimox during the prolonged fast increased the disposition index of 31% (P = 0.03). In conclusion, the 24-h fast was accompanied by variable increases in FFA levels and yielded somewhat conflicting results with regard to their effect on insulin secretion (8, 10, 17, 21, 43, 50).

Our aim was to explore whether a prolonged fast would increase plasma FFA levels sufficiently to study the effect of elevated levels on the glucose-stimulated acute insulin response (AIR) and SI and whether any potential effects could be reversed by lowering FFA levels with the antilipolytic drug acipimox (7, 13, 45). We studied 14 healthy volunteers without a family history of diabetes to test the hypothesis that increased plasma FFA levels are directly linked to changes in AIR and SI.

METHODS

Subjects and Investigative Protocol

Fourteen healthy participants (8 female, 6 male) aged 22.8–26.9 yr were recruited to the study at the University Department of Paediatrics, Addenbrooke’s Hospital (Cambridge, UK). None of the subjects’ parents and siblings had diabetes. The study was approved by the Suffolk Local Research Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from each volunteer.

In random order, subjects were admitted to the Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility (WTCRF), Addenbrooke’s Hospital, at 2000 on three occasions (treatment A, B, or C), which were 8–14 days apart. The participants were instructed not to consume alcohol and refrain from exercise for 48 h before each study visit. During treatment A, subjects were given a standard meal at 2100 and then remained fasted till completion of the study protocol at 1100 the following morning. During treatments B and C, subjects had breakfast between 0800 and 0815 on the day of admission to the WTCRF and then fasted till 1100 the following morning. In addition, participants ingested a placebo tablet or 250 mg of the antilipolytic drug acipimox at 1100, 1500, 1900, 2300, 0300, and 0700 in a double-blind design.

Numerous studies have shown that insulin resistance precedes the manifestation of hyperglycemia in subjects who go on to develop type 2 diabetes (T2D; Ref. 31). However, given that normal β-cells adjust their function to compensate for any decrease in insulin sensitivity (SI; Refs. 3, 16), it is increasingly being recognized that T2D only develops once β-cells are no longer able to sustain an adequate compensatory response (24). It is not known when β-cell dysfunction first appears in the development of T2D, but recent evidence (15) suggests it occurs when glucose tolerance is still classified as normal.

Lipotoxic mechanisms are likely to play an important role in the development of β-cell dysfunction, which is supported by extensive in vitro and animal model data (11, 36, 38, 51). Experimental studies (6, 8, 10, 17, 21, 43, 50) in humans to date have made use of lipid/heparin infusions to study the effect of manipulating circulating free fatty acid (FFA) levels on glucose metabolism. However, these infusions led to highly variable increases in FFA levels and yielded somewhat conflicting results with regard to their effect on insulin secretion (8, 10, 17, 21, 43, 50).
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Table 1. Cohort characteristics by sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>71.7 (64.2–79.1)</td>
<td>58.0 (51.4–64.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, kg</td>
<td>175.1 (166.1–184.0)</td>
<td>163.7 (161.2–166.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.5 (20.4–26.6)</td>
<td>25.7 (22.0–28.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-body fat mass, kg</td>
<td>12.6 (9.2–22.4)</td>
<td>17.3 (12.6–22.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-body fat-free mass, kg</td>
<td>55.9 (48.2–63.7)</td>
<td>38.3 (36.2–40.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Whole-body fat mass</td>
<td>17.9 (4.9–30.9)</td>
<td>30.7 (25.2–36.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means [95% confidence interval (CI)].

After admission on each visit, two intravenous cannulas were sited in an antecubital vein of each arm, one of which was warmed with a heating blanket to allow sampling of arterialized blood. Baseline samples for FFA, growth hormone (GH), and insulin levels were taken at 2200. Sampling for FFA continued overnight at 60-min intervals, while samples for GH were taken every 15 min. Final samples of the overnight protocol were taken at 0759. Additional samples for glucose and insulin were taken at 0750 and 0755. At 0800, an intravenous bolus (0.3 g/kg) of glucose was administered through the second cannula to commence an intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT) on each study visit. At 0820, an intravenous bolus (0.02 U/kg) of insulin was given. During the IVGTT, blood samples for GH were taken every 15 min until 1100 and for FFA at 0810, 0819, 0830, 0845, 0900, 0930, 1000, 1030, and 1100. Glucose and insulin levels were measured 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 15, 19, 22, 23, 25, 27, 30, 35, 40, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 min after the intravenous glucose bolus. Subjects were then given breakfast, and both cannulas were removed before subjects were discharged from the research unit.

Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry

A dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scan was performed at the WTCRF after the subjects’ final study visit. Data on body composition were gathered with a Lunar Prodigy machine using a constant pixel size of 1.2 × 1.2 cm and Lunar software programs (version 8.1, Lunar). The effective radiation dose was 0.2 μSv. The scan yielded measures of whole-body fat and fat-free mass and therefore percent whole-body fat mass.

Table 2. Overnight (2200-0759) FFA and GH levels, fasting (0759) glucose and insulin levels, AIR, S₁⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻—are measured by the following two differential equations:

\[ \frac{dg}{dt} = -S_G^{MM} g(t) + S_G^{MM} g_0 = g_b + D/V_g \]  
\[ \frac{dg}{dt} = -p_1 g(t) + p_2 g(t) = x(t) = x(0) = 0 \]

where \( g(t) \) is glucose concentration, \( i(t) \) is insulin concentration, \( x(t) \) is insulin action above basal. The \( g_b \) and \( i_b \) are the end-test glucose and insulin concentrations, \( D \) is the amount of exogenous glucose injected at time 0, and \( S_G^{MM}, p_1, p_2, \) and \( V_g \) are model parameters. The parameter \( V_g \) represents the distribution volume of glucose. \( S_G^{MM} \) is calculated as \( p_1/p_2 \).

Bayesian population analysis was performed using WinBUGS version 1.4 (28, 49) on all subjects’ data simultaneously. This provided both individual- and population-level parameter estimates for \( I \) minimal model derived postintravenous glucose insulin sensitivity, \( S_G^{MM}, 2 \) glucose effectiveness, \( S_G^{MM}, 3 \) the rate of provision of insulin action, \( p_1, 4 \) the fractional transfer rate associated with remote insulin; \( S_G^{MM}, 3 \) glucose effectiveness; \( V_g \) volume of glucose distribution.
insulin, p2, and 5) the volume of glucose distribution, Vg. The transfer rate p2 quantifies how fast insulin action dissipates, while the ratio ln(2)/p2 represents the time needed for insulin action to attain its half-maximum value. Individual-level estimates were based on fitting a minimal model of glucose and insulin kinetics (4) to each IVGTT. The minimal model was implemented in WinBUGS’s WBDiff interface version 1.9.4 (www.winbugs-development.org.uk) following our earlier work (1). Differences between the subjects’ parameters were simultaneously accounted for by a standard statistical model (27), which provided estimates of the variability between subjects as well as the population-level parameters. These in turn provided further information about the individual-level estimates, and the Bayesian approach allowed estimates of parameters to be “strengthened” via the incorporation of external knowledge regarding their approximate values.

Calculations

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as [weight (kg)]/[height (m)]². The area under the curve (AUC) for FFA levels between 2200 and 0759 was calculated according to the trapezium rule and gave a measure of exposure to FFAs before the IVGTT. The area under the insulin curve between 0800 and 0810 above basal insulin levels is the AIR and provided a proxy for first phase insulin secretion (26). Insulin AUC was multiplied with S×M to calculate the disposition index, which is an assessment of the adequacy of AIR for the degree of S (5, 25). Glucose AUC above basal glucose levels was calculated for the period of the IVGTT (0800-1100). A biexponential regression line was fitted to insulin levels during the IVGTT to model slow and fast insulin clearance phases (23). The metabolic clearance rate (MCR) for the total insulin volume of distribution was then calculated as the sum of the slow and fast exponential decay coefficients and normalized to body weight. The model implementation and parameter estimations were carried out in SAAM II version 1.2.1 (SAAM Institute, University of Washington).

Analysis of GH Secretion

GH levels were analyzed using the Easy Time Series Analysis program (Oxford University). After smoothing of data using a three-point moving average, probit analysis was used to quantify the distribution of GH levels present in the overnight profiles (2200-0759), giving unbiased estimates of basal, median, and maximal GH levels (12). Fourier transformation (FT) was used to assess occurrence...
GH pulse amplitudes were increased during the prolonged fast treatments A and C. Associations between overnight levels of FFA, insulin, and glucose; GH secretion; AIR; and SIMM were tested using the Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r). Two-tailed significance was set to P < 0.05. Analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 14.0.

RESULTS

Overnight (Treatment A) vs. 24-h Fast (Treatment B)

Cohort characteristics are summarized by sex in Table 1. Overnight. Overnight (2200-0759) FFA levels, as measured by the AUC, were 2.8-fold higher during the 24-h fast (P < 0.0001; Table 2). Overnight basal GH levels were similar for treatments A and B (P = 0.4; Table 2). Maximal GH levels and GH pulse amplitudes were increased during the prolonged fast (P = 0.04 and P = 0.02, respectively; Table 2), and there was a trend toward increased mean GH levels with the long fast (P = 0.07; Table 2). Fourier transformation showed similar GH pulse periodicity on treatments A and B [209.5 (139.1–280.0) vs. 181.0 (155.3–206.7) min; P = 0.4]. Fasting (pre-IVGTT) glucose and insulin levels were lower during the 24-h fast (P < 0.0001 and P = 0.001, respectively; Table 2; Fig. 1, A and B).

The increase in overnight FFA levels from treatment A to B tended to be associated with increases in GH pulse amplitude (r = 0.466; P = 0.09) and decreases in insulin levels (r = −0.457; P = 0.1).

The decrease in AIR from treatment A to B was associated with the increase in overnight FFA levels, independent of reductions in fasting glucose levels (r = 0.603; P = 0.03). The decrease in SfMM from treatment A to B was not related to overnight changes in FFA levels (r = −0.293; P = 0.3) but correlated with increases in mean GH levels (r = −0.63; P = 0.02) independent of changes in overnight FFA levels (r = −0.595; P = 0.04). The reduction in p3 from treatment A to B correlated with the increase in mean levels of GH (r = −0.629; P = 0.02) but not FFAs (r = 0.031; P = 0.9).

The change in p2 from treatment A to B showed no association to changes in GH or FFA levels.

Effects of acipimox during the 24-h fast (treatment B vs. C). This part of the analysis only applies to 13 subjects, as one (male) subject failed to undertake treatment C.

Overnight. The average change in overnight FFA levels from treatment B to C was −20% and ranged from −62 to +49% (P = 0.03; Table 3; Fig. 3). FFA levels during treatment C [18.5 (14.0–22.9) *10^4 μmol/l/min] remained above those observed during the overnight fast (P < 0.0001; Fig. 2). GH levels were markedly higher on treatment C than on treatments B and A (Table 3; Fig. 3). Fasting glucose and insulin levels were similar for treatments B and C (P = 0.4 and P = 0.6, respectively; Table 3; Fig. 3, A and B).

IVGTT. AIR and SfMM were similar during treatments B and C (P = 0.02 and P = 0.07; Table 3; Fig. 4A). However, the disposition index increased by an average of 31% with acipimox during the 24-h fast (P = 0.03; Table 3). Nevertheless, glucose AUC was similar for treatments B and C (P = 0.6; Table 3; Fig. 4B). The p2 was lower with acipimox

Table 3. Overnight (2200-0759) FFA and GH levels, fasting (0759) glucose and insulin levels, AIR, SfMM, disposition index and glucose AUC during the IVGTT, and insulin MCR, p2, SgMM, and Vg for treatments B (24-h fast with placebo) and C (24-h fast with acipimox)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24-h Fast + Placebo</th>
<th>24-h Fast + Acipimox</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFA AUC, *10^4 μmol/l/min</td>
<td>51.4 (45.2–57.5)</td>
<td>40.0 (29.7–50.3)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal GH, ng/ml</td>
<td>0.48 (0.07–0.90)</td>
<td>5.45 (0.94–9.97)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean GH, ng/ml</td>
<td>4.0 (1.7–6.3)</td>
<td>27.2 (18.2–36.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal GH, ng/ml</td>
<td>18.7 (9.5–27.9)</td>
<td>127.1 (80.8–173.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH amplitude, ng/ml×min</td>
<td>81.7 (48.5–114.9)</td>
<td>432.3 (298.5–566.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose, mmol/l</td>
<td>3.2 (3.0–3.4)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.9–3.3)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin, pmol/l</td>
<td>12.1 (9.6–14.5)</td>
<td>11.1 (7.3–14.9)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR, *10^6 pmol/l/min</td>
<td>13.2 (9.1–17.3)</td>
<td>15.9 (12.9–18.9)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SfMM, *10^3 mol·min^{-1} per μU/L</td>
<td>2.9 (2.1–3.7)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.3–6.7)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposition index, *10^2 pmol/μU</td>
<td>36.9 (22.0–51.8)</td>
<td>60.5 (40.0–81.1)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose AUC, mmol/l×min</td>
<td>337.9 (257.8–418.0)</td>
<td>357.3 (290.7–424.0)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin MCR, 1/min-1 per kg</td>
<td>0.06 (0.04–0.08)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.05–0.08)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p1, *10^5 mol·min^{-2} per μU/L</td>
<td>8.9 (5.4–12.5)</td>
<td>8.0 (5.0–15.6)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2, *10^5 mol·min^{-1}</td>
<td>2.9 (2.2–3.5)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.7–1.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SgMM, *10^3 mol·min^{-1}</td>
<td>1.7 (1.6–1.9)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.5–1.9)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vg, l/kg</td>
<td>0.21 (0.21–0.22)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.20–0.22)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means (95% CI).
(P < 0.0001; Table 3), but p3 (P = 0.2), insulin MCR (P = 0.9), SGMM (P = 0.9), and Vg (P = 0.7) were similar for treatments B and C (Table 3).

The reduction in p2 from treatment B to C showed no association to the changes in FFA and GH levels during treatment with acipimox.

**DISCUSSION**

In this group of healthy adults with normal BMI and no family history of diabetes, we explored the effect of a prolonged fast with and without acipimox on glucose metabolism. The central finding is that 24 h of fasting led to a 2.8-fold increase in circulating FFA levels compared with an overnight fast and was accompanied by decreases in the glucose-stimulated AIR and SIMM and therefore a reduced disposition index.

Given the hyperbolic equilibrium between insulin secretion and S1 (5, 25), reduced first phase insulin secretion relative to the degree of S1, reflected in a decreased disposition index, is considered to be responsible for the development of impaired glucose tolerance (18). Continuing deteriorations in β-cell function, which ultimately lead to T2D (30), have been shown to involve lipotoxic mechanisms in vitro and manifest as reductions in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (54), impaired insulin gene expression (20), and β-cell apoptosis (29). These findings have been replicated in animal models (19), but studies in humans have led to conflicting results. After 24- or 48-h lipid/heparin infusions, healthy subjects, unlike those with T2D (6), increased insulin secretion (determined through an IVGTT or by deconvolution of plasma C-peptide levels) to compensate for the concomitant fall in S1 (8, 21). In contrast, Carpentier et al. (10) reported that hyperglycemic clamp-derived measures of insulin secretion were increased after an acute (90-min) lipid/heparin infusion while insulin secretion was impaired after a 48-h infusion. Similarly, first phase insulin secretion, as assessed with an IVGTT, was increased after 6 h and decreased after 24 h of infusing lipid/heparin (43). However, in another study of nine healthy women, first phase insulin and C-peptide levels were unchanged after 5.5 h of...
intravenous lipid/heparin (17). Furthermore, Stoorgard et al. (50) observed no change in insulin secretion during an IVGTT in eight healthy men after 2 and 24 h of lipid/heparin. The increase in circulating FFA levels after 24-h lipid infusions differed up to sevenfold in these studies, which makes comparisons difficult. In addition, the infusions were continued throughout the assessment of insulin secretion, which is rather unphysiological as it counteracts the decrease FFA levels that is normally observed when circulating insulin levels increase in healthy individuals. We achieved substantial elevations in plasma FFA levels in a physiological manner by letting our subjects fast for 24 h, thereby exploiting the effects of decreasing insulin levels and GH-induced increases in the rate of lipolysis during periods of energy restriction (39).

Elevated circulating GH levels have been shown to reduce hepatic and peripheral $S_I$ (40, 47), therefore sparing glucose and reducing the need for gluconeogenic precursors from muscle protein during periods of nutritional deprivation (41). Our experimental design did not allow an accurate assessment of hepatic $S_I$, and given the relative hypoglycemia during the 24-h fast, we were unable to use a surrogate index such as the homeostasis model assessment either. However, $S_{MM}^I$, predominantly a measure of peripheral $S_I$, was reduced after the 24-h fast. This was associated with increases in mean GH levels, independent of changes in FFA levels, which may signify a direct effect of elevated GH levels on $S_I$ (32, 33, 46). Despite the decline in $S_{MM}^I$, AIR was impaired, which correlated with the rise in FFA levels independent of reductions in pre-IVGTT fasting glucose levels. However, we had no measure of hepatic insulin extraction, which may have changed during the prolonged fast, so that AIR constitutes only a crude proxy for first phase insulin secretion. Furthermore, we did not measure levels of ketones, amino acids, glucagon, cortisol, and catecholamines (39), which all may have contributed to the decreases in AIR and $S_{MM}^I$ that led to a reduction in the disposition index and therefore elevated glucose levels during

![Graph A](http://ajpendo.physiology.org/)

**Fig. 4.** A: insulin levels 10 min before and during the IVGTT. ■Treatment B; ●treatment C. Data are means ± 1SE. B: glucose levels 10 min before and during IVGTT. ■Treatment B; ●treatment C. Data are means ± 1SE.
the IVGTT, without changes in insulin MCR and $S_G^{MM}$. An apparent FFA-induced downregulation of AIR despite the development of insulin resistance may reflect an essential mechanism that allows sparing of glucose for glucose-dependant tissues during the first meal after a period of nutritional deprivation (38). The dynamics of the insulin response also appeared to be different, because the 24-h fast induced marked reductions in $p_2$ and $p_3$, suggesting a decreased rate of provision of insulin action, and a delayed onset but prolonged duration of insulin action. This could indicate a slowdown in the insulin signaling cascade due to enhanced activation of the GH receptor, which shares intracellular substrates with the insulin receptor (14, 35, 48). However, only the decrease in $p_3$ correlated with the increase in GH levels during the prolonged fast, and Nielsen et al. (37) recently showed that there appears to be no cross-talk between the GH receptor and insulin signaling pathway in humans.

Previous studies in healthy human subjects without a family history of diabetes, which explored the effects of manipulating FFA levels with the antilipolytic agent nicotinic acid (9) or its longer-acting analog acipimox (2), yielded inconsistent findings with respect to changes in insulin secretion. Hyperglycemic clamp-derived measures of insulin secretion were unchanged after acipimox was administered (45). However, with the use of nicotinic acid and lipid/heparin infusions, two other studies showed that decreases in plasma FFA levels were associated with reductions in basal and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (7, 13). The four, hourly administrations of 250 mg of acipimox during the 24-h fast of our subjects resulted in a relative reduction in overnight FFA levels. This was associated with an increased disposition index, suggesting partial improvements of AIR and $S_I^{MM}$, but these were not large enough to reach statistical significance. Return of these parameters to values obtained during the overnight fast was not possible because the interval between successive administrations of acipimox was too large and hence FFA levels were still elevated compared with the overnight fast. Furthermore, five subjects exhibited overnight FFA levels that were identical to or higher than those during the 24-h fast with placebo. Sporadic rebound lipolysis during treatment with acipimox over a comparable time period has previously been described (42, 53) and may involve a desensitization to drug action (53) or be due to insufficient plasma levels (52) if metabolized quickly. Acipimox at the given dose exerts maximum efficacy 2 h after ingestion, and plasma concentrations tail off sharply thereafter (34). Corresponding nadirs in circulating FFA levels were seen 2 h after each tablet ingestion in our subjects. These were mirrored by peaks in the GH secretion pattern on top of already highly elevated GH levels, owing to the feedback drive from lowered FFA levels (44), which was potentiated by the long fast (22). The vastly increased GH levels during the 24-h fast with acipimox may have counteracted some of the beneficial effects of reducing FFA levels on AIR and $S_I^{MM}$. Interestingly, $p_2$ was reduced during treatment with acipimox, but this was not related to the rise in GH levels and may therefore, as all of our observations, be due to a direct effect of acipimox.

In conclusion, our results showed that prolonged fasting is accompanied by substantial increases in fasting FFA levels and that it induces reductions in AIR and $S_I^{MM}$ and therefore a decrease in the disposition index. The use of acipimox during the prolonged fast led to an incomplete suppression of lipolysis, but the disposition index nevertheless increased with treatment, suggesting a partial reversal of the effects of fasting on AIR and $S_I^{MM}$.
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