Incretin release from gut is acutely enhanced by sugar but not by sweeteners in vivo
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Fujita Y, Wideman RD, Speck M, Asadi A, King DS, Webber TD, Haneda M, Kieffer TJ. Incretin release from gut is acutely enhanced by sugar but not by sweeteners in vivo. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 296: E473–E479, 2009. First published December 23, 2008; doi:10.1152/ajpendo.90636.2008.—Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) are released during meals from endocrine cells located in the gut mucosa and stimulate insulin secretion from pancreatic β-cells in a glucose-dependent manner. Although the gut epithelium senses luminal sugars, the mechanism of sugar sensing and its downstream events coupled to the release of the incretin hormones are not clearly elucidated. Recently, it was reported that sucralose, a sweetener that activates the sweet receptors of taste buds, triggers incretin release from a murine enteroendocrine cell line in vitro. We confirmed that immunoreactivity of α-gustducin, a key G-coupled protein involved in taste sensing, is sometimes colocalized with GIP in rat duodenum. We investigated whether secretion of incretins in response to carbohydrates is mediated via taste receptors by feeding rats the sweet-tasting compounds saccharin, ascorfucose potassium, ß-tryptophan, sucralose, or stevia. Oral gavage of these sweeteners did not reduce the blood glucose excursion to a subsequent intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test. Neither oral sucralose nor oral stevia reduced blood glucose levels in Zucker diabetic fatty rats. Finally, whereas oral glucose increased plasma GIP levels ~4-fold and GLP-1 levels ~2.5-fold postadministration, none of the sweeteners tested significantly increased GLP-1 and GIP from the murine endocrine cell line GLUTag expressing cells also coexpress GLP-1-positive cells in rodent and human gut (27). GIP-expressing cells also coexpress α-gustducin (27). The artificial sweetener sucralose has been reported to induce release of GLP-1 and GIP from the murine entocrine cell line GLUTag in vitro (40). Moreover, α-gustducin-null mice display an abnormal GIP and GLP-1 secretory response to oral glucose administration (27). Taken together, these studies provide evidence suggesting that the mechanism for signal transduction of sweetness through T1Rs and the α-gustducin cascade utilized by the gustatory system might also be involved in sugar sensing in gut endocrine cells. We rationalized that if this were correct, sweetener compounds could be utilized as agents to induce incretin release, in the absence of sugars, to lower blood glucose levels. We used intraperitoneal glucose tolerance tests (IPGTTs) in combination with oral sweetener administration in rats to determine: 1) whether glucose excursions were improved in response to oral sweeteners, and 2) whether GIP and GLP-1 were released in response to oral sweeteners. Our results suggest that dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4; Ref. 33). Approaches to increase the circulating bioactive levels of GIP and GLP-1 include the use of DPP-4-resistant analogs such as exendin-4 and NN2211 or the administration of DPP-4 inhibitors (3, 4, 58). Another strategy is to increase endogenous incretin release from enteroendocrine cells, perhaps through the development of oral drugs that activate nutrient-sensing pathways in incretin-producing cells. It has been demonstrated that fatty acids bind to G-protein-coupled receptors (GPRs) and induce incretin release in vitro and in vivo (8, 24, 30). In particular, GPR40 and GPR120 have been reported to be involved in “fat” sensing in enteroendocrine cells through increasing calcium influx. In contrast, the mechanism by which gut incretin-secreting cells sense dietary sugars remains relatively unknown.

In recent years, the mechanisms by which the tongue senses sugars have been identified. In gustatory cells, two type 1 taste GPRs (T1R2 and T1R3) heterodimerize to form the sweet receptor (42, 47). Sugars and sweeteners bind to the T1R2, T1R3, and GPR120 have been reported to be involved in “fat” sensing in enteroendocrine cells through increasing calcium influx. In contrast, the mechanism by which gut incretin-secreting cells sense dietary sugars remains relatively unknown.

In recent years, the mechanisms by which the tongue senses sugars have been identified. In gustatory cells, two type 1 taste GPRs (T1R2 and T1R3) heterodimerize to form the sweet receptor (42, 47). Sugars and sweeteners bind to the T1R2, T1R3, and GPR120 have been reported to be involved in “fat” sensing in enteroendocrine cells through increasing calcium influx. In contrast, the mechanism by which gut incretin-secreting cells sense dietary sugars remains relatively unknown.

In recent years, the mechanisms by which the tongue senses sugars have been identified. In gustatory cells, two type 1 taste GPRs (T1R2 and T1R3) heterodimerize to form the sweet receptor (42, 47). Sugars and sweeteners bind to the T1R2, T1R3, and GPR120 have been reported to be involved in “fat” sensing in enteroendocrine cells through increasing calcium influx. In contrast, the mechanism by which gut incretin-secreting cells sense dietary sugars remains relatively unknown.
sweeteners do not acutely enhance the release of incretin hormones in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Male Wistar rats (290–530 g) and C57/BL6 mice were purchased from the University of British Columbia (UBC) Animal Care Centre (Vancouver, BC, Canada) or Charles River (Montreal, PQ, Canada or Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan). Male Zucker diabetic fatty (ZDF) rats (17 wk) were purchased from Charles River (Montreal, PQ, Canada). All studies were performed in accordance with UBC and Asahikawa Medical College guidelines for the use of animals. Animals had ad libitum access to water and a standard rodent Chow diet.

Immunohistochemistry. Rat small intestine and mouse tongue were extracted while the animals were under inhalable anesthesia (1.5% isoflurane). Tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4°C and then embedded in paraffin blocks. Antigen retrieval was performed by washing in citrate buffer (pH 6 containing 0.05% Triton X) followed by microwave heating. Sections were treated with a protein-blocking reagent (Dako Cytomation, Mississauga, ON, Canada) for 30 min and incubated with mouse anti-GIP antibody (1:5,000; kindly provided by Dr. Alison Buchan, University of British Columbia) or rabbit anti-α-gustducin (1:200; sc-395; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) at 4°C overnight. After washes, sections were incubated with secondary antibodies (Alexofluor 488 or Alexafluor 594, 1:500; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were mounted in aqueous media (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

Oral sweetener challenge and IPGTT. IPGTTs were performed on conscious, overnight-fasted Wistar rats or fed ZDF rats. Glucose or sweeteners were administered with a syringe attached to a stainless steel gavage tube. Blood was drawn from tail vein and collected to a microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged before plasma samples were extracted while the animals were under inhalable anesthesia (1.5% isoflurane). Tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4°C and then embedded in paraffin blocks. Antigen retrieval was performed by washing in citrate buffer (pH 6 containing 0.05% Triton X) followed by microwave heating. Sections were treated with a protein-blocking reagent (Dako Cytomation, Mississauga, ON, Canada) for 30 min and incubated with mouse anti-GIP antibody (1:5,000; kindly provided by Dr. Alison Buchan, University of British Columbia) or rabbit anti-α-gustducin (1:200; sc-395; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) at 4°C overnight. After washes, sections were incubated with secondary antibodies (Alexofluor 488 or Alexafluor 594, 1:500; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were mounted in aqueous media (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

Oral sweetener challenge and IPGTT. IPGTTs were performed on conscious, overnight-fasted Wistar rats or fed ZDF rats. Glucose or sweeteners were administered with a syringe attached to a stainless steel gavage tube. Blood was drawn from tail vein and collected to a microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged before plasma samples were extracted while the animals were under inhalable anesthesia (1.5% isoflurane). Tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4°C and then embedded in paraffin blocks. Antigen retrieval was performed by washing in citrate buffer (pH 6 containing 0.05% Triton X) followed by microwave heating. Sections were treated with a protein-blocking reagent (Dako Cytomation, Mississauga, ON, Canada) for 30 min and incubated with mouse anti-GIP antibody (1:5,000; kindly provided by Dr. Alison Buchan, University of British Columbia) or rabbit anti-α-gustducin (1:200; sc-395; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) at 4°C overnight. After washes, sections were incubated with secondary antibodies (Alexofluor 488 or Alexafluor 594, 1:500; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were mounted in aqueous media (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

Chemicals and assays. D-tryptophan (T9753), acesulfame K (0454), and saccharin (109185) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Canada (Oakville, ON, Canada). Sucralose was kindly provided by McNeil Nutritional (Fort Washington, PA). Stevia (R9003) and glucose (D14-500) were purchased from the JG Group (Burlington, ON, Canada) and Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA), respectively. Plasma total GIP levels were assayed by ELISA (EZRMGIP-55K; Millipore, Billerica, MA). Although samples from seven animals were collected for each group, overnight fasted levels sometimes fell below the assay’s limit of detection (8.9 pg/ml); data are reported only for animals whose plasma GIP levels fell within the assay’s readable range. Plasma total GLP-1 levels were assayed using a kit (K110FCC) from Meso Scale Discovery (Gaithersburg, MD) using a 50-μl sample volume, a modification suggested by the manufacturer to increase the sensitivity of the assay.

Statistical analysis. Results are expressed means ± SE. Statistical significance was assessed using commercial software (Prism; GraphPad, San Diego, CA). P < 0.05 was deemed statistically significant by ANOVA or student’s t-test as appropriate.

RESULTS

α-Gustducin is coexpressed with GIP in rat duodenum. Several preceding studies (25, 27, 40) reported expression of α-gustducin in the gut; more recently, the sweet receptor components and α-gustducin have been colocalized to GLP-1-expressing L cells and/or GIP-expressing K cells. To confirm previous observations, we used immunohistochemical methods to analyze α-gustducin expression in the gut and tongue. We observed cytoplasmic immunoreactivity of α-gustducin in the gustatory receptor cells of murine taste buds (Fig. 1, A and B). We also detected flask-shaped α-gustducin-immunoreactive cells scattered throughout the intestinal mucosa by immunostaining of rat duodenum (Fig. 1, C and E). Double immunofluorescent staining for α-gustducin and GIP revealed that approximately one-third of GIP-immunoreactive cells coexpressed α-gustducin (Fig. 1).

Oral administration of sweeteners does not reduce blood glucose levels. Since the sweetener sucralose has been reported to stimulate GLP-1 and GIP secretion from enteroendocrine cells in vitro (40), we next examined the possibility that oral sweeteners might improve glucose disposal in vivo through induction of incretin release from the gut. We rationalized that if sweetener ingestion promotes release of GIP and GLP-1, then it should reduce the glycemic excursion to an IPGTT in the same way that an oral glucose load accompanied by incretin release yields a smaller glucose excursion compared with the same glucose load delivered either via intraperitoneal or intravenous routes. We chose five sweeteners to administer to rats (n-tryptophan, accesulfame K, saccharin, sucralose, and stevia), excluding aspartame as it does not activate the rodent sweet receptor (61). Peak blood glucose levels were observed
10 min after glucose delivery, and, as anticipated, were significantly lower in rats administered glucose orally compared with those given the same glucose load by intraperitoneal injection (Fig. 2; 6.5 ± 0.4 mM for oral gavage compared with 11.2 ± 0.6 mM for intraperitoneal injection 10 min after glucose administration). However, the peak glucose levels were not significantly different in rats given an IPGTT alone compared with rats given IPGTT in combination with any of the oral sweeteners tested (Fig. 2).

To determine if the dose of sweeteners administered in our initial studies was inappropriate to improve the glucose excursion, we conducted additional experiments with a range of doses of orally administered stevia (5 mg/kg to 1 g/kg). As shown in Fig. 3, absolute blood glucose levels were not lower than intraperitoneal glucose alone at any time point; that is, none of doses of oral stevia tested significantly decreased blood glucose levels.

Although we did not observe any effect of sweetener gavage on blood glucose levels in Wistar rats, we rationalized that any effect of possible incretin release on blood glucose levels might be limited by the glucose-dependent nature of the insulino-tropic action of the incretins or be masked by counterregulatory mechanisms maintaining fasted blood glucose levels in normal rats. We therefore utilized ZDF rats, a model of type 2 diabetes, to examine the effect of a sweetener on blood glucose levels in hyperglycemic animals. Sucralose or stevia was administered orally to nonfasted ZDF rats without additional glucose load. Blood glucose levels were $\approx 20–22$ mM before sweetener administration and did not change significantly during the 120 min after sweetener delivery (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

GIP and GLP-1 are released from the gut in response to nutrient intake and in turn stimulate insulin secretion from pancreatic $\beta$-cells (1, 2, 6, 10, 14, 15, 23, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 45, 48, 49, 51). Increasing the release of these incretin hormones by oral agents may be an attractive approach to improve glucose homeostasis in subjects with diabetes mellitus. Such efforts will benefit from an increased understanding of the
mechanisms by which enteroendocrine cells detect and respond to various stimuli. In this regard, it has been suggested that incretin-producing cells possess the machinery necessary to respond to sweeteners (27, 40). GLP-1 release from the NCI-H716 model of human L cells was promoted by sugars and by the sweetener sucralose (27). Sucralose was also reported to induce GIP and GLP-1 release from murine gut endocrine GLUTag cells (40). Jang et al. (27) reported that Δ/gustducin null mice manifested deficiencies in secretion of GLP-1 and that the regulation of plasma insulin and also showed higher glucose excursion by oral glucose tolerance test. Based on these observations, we sought to determine whether artificial sweeteners could induce incretin release from the gut and thereby improve glucose homeostasis in normal and diabetic rats in vivo.

Collectively, our findings do not support the notion that sweeteners acutely induce the release of meaningful quantities of incretin hormones in rodents. Our results are in agreement with a clinical study (20) that reported no effect of acute oral stevioside on release of GIP and GLP-1 in subjects with type 2 diabetes. However, it remains possible that chronic sweetener administration may be capable of improving glucose homeostasis. Dietary sugar and artificial sweeteners increased sodium-dependent glucose-cotransporter (SGLT)1 mRNA and protein expression and improved glucose absorptive capacity in wild-type mice but not in sweet receptor T1R3 or Δ-gustducin null mice (40). Moreover, as SGLT1 has been implicated in the release of both GIP and GLP-1 (40), sweeteners might indirectly contribute to GIP and GLP-1 release by modulating expression of SGLT1. Indeed, a 4-wk treatment with the nondigestible sugar oligofructose showed an antidiabetic effect through the enhanced secretion of GLP-1 in high-fat-fed diabetic mice (5). These observations indicate that long-term treatment with sweeteners might be necessary to improve glucose homeostasis through enhanced incretin release, rather than the acute treatments used in our current study. It also remains possible that we did not test optimal doses for acute sweetener effects on incretin secretion and glucose handling, although we observed no effect of stevia on glycemic excursion over a wide range of doses. Moreover, the “sweetness” of the sweeteners we tested is up to several hundredfold higher than equal doses of glucose (12, 37, 47, 59, 62).

We observed some colocalization of immunoreactive Δ-gustducin and GIP, as has been reported previously (27, 40), but we noted that the majority of GIP-expressing K cells do not coexpress Δ-gustducin. It has been reported that Δ-gustducin is expressed in ~15% of GLP-1-expressing cells (55). These observations are consistent with our previous findings (18) that indicate that there may not be one prototypical K or L cell. Moreover, the limited localization of Δ-gustducin in incretin-expressing cells suggests that this signaling pathway might not play a major role in regulating the release of either GIP or GLP-1 in response to “tasting” nutrients.

Incretin levels increase severalfold after the ingestion of a mixed meal or glucose (1, 6, 14, 15, 28, 29, 34, 45). Unlike the sweeteners, oral glucose clearly elicited the well-documented release of GIP and GLP-1 in our studies. The sensing mechanism for this involves luminal contact with glucose, since GIP and GLP-1 release does not increase when glucose is admin-

**Fig. 4.** Oral sucralose or stevia challenge does not change blood glucose levels in Zucker diabetic fatty rats. Random fed Zucker diabetic fatty rats (n = 6) were given oral sucralose (A; 1 g/kg) or stevia (B; 1 g/kg) at time 0. Blood glucose was measured via tail vein for 120 min after gavage.

**Fig. 5.** Total GIP levels in response to oral glucose load in normal Wistar rats. *Left:* blood glucose levels (mM). *Right:* total plasma GIP levels (pg/ml) just before *(time 0)* and after oral gavage of glucose (2 g/kg) in normal rats (n = 3).
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Fig. 6. Oral administration of sweeteners does not enhance GIP or GLP-1 release in rats. Sweeteners (50 mg/kg for D-tryptophan; 1 g/kg for all others) were given orally to normal fasted rats after an overnight fast. Blood samples were drawn before and 10 or 30 min after sweetener delivery. Glucose, but none of sweeteners, enhanced GIP release (A) and GLP-1 release (B). *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 compared with basal using student’s t-test; n = 3–7 for GIP (A); n = 5–6 for GLP-1 (B).

In contrast, enteroendocrine cells express several recently orphanized GPRs that are activated by fatty acids. GPR40, originally identified as a mediator of fatty acid induced insulin secretion from pancreatic β-cells (26), was subsequently identified on intestinal incretin-producing cells (13). Moreover, mice lacking GPR40 have reduced GIP and GLP-1 responses to an acute high-fat diet challenge (13). Similarly, GPR120 is expressed in GLP-1-secreting L cells, where it is reported to function as a receptor for dietary unsaturated long-chain free fatty acids (24, 30). GPR119 was identified as an additional long-chain fatty acid receptor expressed in β-cells (9) that, in contrast to the G_{s} coupling of GPR40 and GPR120 (Ca^{2+} messenger), couples through G_{i} (cAMP messenger). Interestingly, agonists of GPR119 were shown to enhance glucose-dependent insulin secretion, preferentially when glucose was administered orally with the agonist vs. via intraperitoneal injection, suggesting that the agonist might modulate incretin signaling (9). However, in a followup study (8), GPR119 was localized to L cells and oral treatment with a GPR119 agonist increased release of GLP-1 in normal but not GPR119 knockout mice. Therefore, agonists of fatty acid receptors may benefit in treating type 2 diabetes, both by directly stimulating glucose-dependent insulin secretion from pancreatic β-cells and by boosting secretion of incretin hormones from the intestine (35, 38).

In summary, we were unable to find any evidence that artificial sweeteners acutely induce incretin release in vivo. Therefore, while parallels have been drawn between nutrient-sensing pathways in the oral cavity and gut (11, 54), our findings do not support the concept that gut incretin-secreting cells sense luminal carbohydrates via a pathway analogous to that by which the tongue senses sweetness. The physiological role of the taste receptor machinery in gut endocrine cells therefore remains to be clarified.
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