Carbenoxolone treatment attenuates symptoms of metabolic syndrome and atherosclerosis in obese, hyperlipidemic mice
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Nuotio-Antar AM, Hachey DL, Hasty AH. Carbenoxolone treatment attenuates symptoms of metabolic syndrome and atherosclerosis in obese, hyperlipidemic mice. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 293: E1517–E1528, 2007. —Glucocorticoids, which are well established to regulate body fat mass distribution, adipocyte lipolysis, hepatic gluconeogenesis, and hepatic VLDL secretion, are speculated to play a role in the pathology of metabolic syndrome. Recent focus has been on the activity of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1), which is capable of regenerating, and thus amplifying, glucocorticoids in key metabolic tissues such as liver and adipose tissue. To determine the effects of global 11β-HSD1 inhibition on metabolic syndrome risk factors, we subcutaneously injected “Western”-type diet-fed hyperlipidemic mice displaying moderate or severe obesity [LDL receptor (LDLR)-deficient (LDLR−/−) mice and mice derived from heterozygous agouti (Ay/ae) and homozygous LDLR −/− breeding pairs (Ay/ae;LDLR−/− mice)] with the nonselective 11β-HSD inhibitor carbenoxolone for 4 wk. Body composition throughout the study, end-point fasting plasma, and extent of hepatic steatosis and atherosclerosis were assessed. This route of treatment led to detection of high levels of carbenoxolone in liver and fat and resulted in decreased weight gain due to reduced body fat mass in both mouse models. However, only Ay/ae;LDLR−/− mice showed an effect of 11β-HSD1 inhibition on fasting insulin and plasma lipids, coincident with a reduction in VLDL due to mildly increased VLDL clearance and dramatically decreased hepatic triglyceride production. Ay/ae;LDLR−/− mice also showed a greater effect of the drug on reducing atherosclerotic lesion formation. These findings indicate that subcutaneous injection of an 11β-HSD1 inhibitor allows for the targeting of the enzyme in not only liver, but also adipose tissue, and attenuates many metabolic syndrome risk factors, with more pronounced effects in cases of severe obesity and hyperlipidemia.
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The obesity epidemic is a world-wide health problem (5). Of major concern to health care professionals is the accompanying rise in other metabolic syndrome risk factors associated with severe obesity: dyslipidemia, hypertension, and impaired glucose homeostasis, which further predispose patients to cardiovascular disease and diabetes (6). Cushing’s syndrome, characterized by excess circulating endogenously or exogenously derived glucocorticoids, results in metabolic syndrome symptoms, such as central obesity, increased plasma triglycerides (TGs), hypertension, and elevated fasting glucose (38, 55). Glucocorticoids impact body fat distribution and stimulate adipocyte differentiation and lipolysis (19, 21, 48). In hepatocytes, glucocorticoids modulate gluconeogenic and lipogenic processes (14, 53). Because obesity is not frequently associated with excess circulating glucocorticoids, there is speculation that enhanced actions of glucocorticoids in key metabolic tissues, such as adipose tissue and liver, may play a causative role in the altered physiology observed in patients with metabolic syndrome (43).

11β-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (11β-HSD) type 1 (11β-HSD1) is a bidirectional NADP+/NADPH-dependent dehydrogenase/reductase that is highly expressed in key metabolic tissues such as liver and adipose tissue, where it acts primarily to regenerate glucocorticoids from inactive, 11-keto metabolites (33). A second isofrom, 11β-HSD2, the product of a different gene, acts solely to metabolize glucocorticoids and is highly expressed in tissues such as kidney, where it confers protection against excess binding of glucocorticoids to the mineralocorticoid receptor (32, 49). Thus, 11β-HSD1 is the only enzyme capable of regenerating corticosterone from 11-dehydrocorticosterone (11-DHC) in rodents or cortisol from cortisone in humans. As these 11-keto metabolites circulate at high concentrations (24, 57), 11β-HSD1 activity may serve to potentiate local concentrations of active glucocorticoids in a tissue-specific manner, preventing the need for the body to produce excess circulating receptor-competent glucocorticoid isofoms, which may have deleterious effects in other tissues.

Dysregulated 11β-HSD1 activity can profoundly affect metabolic phenotype in mice. Adipose tissue-specific 11β-HSD1 overexpression results in hypertension, increased serum free fatty acid (FFA) and TG levels, insulin resistance, central obesity, and hypertension (30, 31). Liver-specific 11β-HSD1 amplification results in hypertension, a proatherogenic lipoprotein profile, and non-obesity-associated hyperinsulinemia (42). Conversely, global 11β-HSD1 deficiency in mice fed a high-fat diet is associated with decreased weight gain and improved serum HDL lipoprotein profiles, despite increased adrenocortex-derived circulating glucocorticoids (24, 34, 35). Therefore, it appears that 11β-HSD1 activity may potentially impact more than one risk factor for metabolic syndrome. Inasmuch as 11β-HSD1 expression has been reported to be increased in the adipose tissue of obese patients (10, 22, 46), this enzyme may prove to be a promising drug target for patients with the metabolic syndrome.

No study has assessed metabolic outcomes of 11β-HSD1 inhibition in the context of combined obesity, atherosclerosis, and hyperlipidemia, nor have the effects of 11β-HSD1 inhibition on lipoprotein metabolism in such a context been
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characterized, which would be key to determining effects of such drugs on dyslipidemia in patients with metabolic syndrome. In this study, we administered subcutaneous injections of the nonselective 11β-HSD inhibitor carbenoxolone (CBX) to hyperlipidemic, Western-type diet (WD)-fed moderately obese LDL receptor (LDLR)-deficient (LDLR−/−) and severely obese mice derived from heterozygous agouti (A/α) and homozygous LDLR−/− breeding pairs (A/α; LDLR−/− mice) (11, 12) and examined outcomes on various metabolic syndrome risk factors. We found that systemic inhibition of 11β-HSD1 led to dramatic improvements in body composition, basal metabolic rate, insulin resistance, lipoprotein metabolism, hepatic steatosis, and atherosclerosis in the more severely obese and insulin-resistant mice, suggesting that targeting this enzyme may become a key therapy for patients with multiple metabolic syndrome risk factors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice.** All mouse procedures were approved by the Vanderbilt University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were allowed food and water ad libitum and were kept under 12:12-h light-dark cycles. Mice were derived from heterozygous agouti (A/α) and homozygous LDLR−/− breeding pairs (A/α; LDLR−/− mice) (11, 12) and examined outcomes on various metabolic syndrome risk factors. We found that systemic inhibition of 11β-HSD1 led to dramatic improvements in body composition, basal metabolic rate, insulin resistance, lipoprotein metabolism, hepatic steatosis, and atherosclerosis in the more severely obese and insulin-resistant mice, suggesting that targeting this enzyme may become a key therapy for patients with multiple metabolic syndrome risk factors.

**Fig. 1.** 11β-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (11β-HSD1) expression and activity in LDL receptor-deficient (LDLR−/−) and heterozygous agouti (A/α) mice bred with homozygous LDLR−/− mice (A/α;LDLR−/− mice). 11β-HSD1 expression was quantitated in gonadal fat pads (A) and livers (B) excised from chow diet-fed, 4-mo-old and 2-yr-old female LDLR−/− and A/α; LDLR−/− mice (n = 3). C and D: 11β-HSD1 enzymatic activity in gonadal fat pads and livers, respectively, dissected from female Western diet (WD)-fed A/α;LDLR−/− mice subcutaneously injected with vehicle or 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 carbenoxolone (CBX) for 4 wk. E: ultraperformance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis of tissue 11-deoxycorticosterone (11-DHC) and corticosterone levels in gonadal fat pads dissected from female WD-fed LDLR−/− and A/α;LDLR−/− mice subcutaneously injected with vehicle or 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX for 4 wk. Values are means ± SE for 3–5 LDLR−/− and 9 A/α;LDLR−/− mice. *P < 0.001 vs. 2-mo-old LDLR−/− and A/α;LDLR−/− mice and P < 0.01 vs. age-matched, lean LDLR−/− control. #P < 0.05 vs. vehicle.
being maintained on WD. Mice were weighed and total fat and lean mass were assessed weekly using an NMR analyzer (Minispec, Bruker Optics) during the 4 wk of treatment. Unless otherwise noted, at the end of the study, all mice were fasted for 5 h and injected 1 h before they were killed, anesthetized, bled by puncture of the retroorbital venous plexus, euthanized with isoflurane inhalation followed by cervical dislocation, perfused with PBS, and dissected for further tissue analyses. Plasma was separated from whole blood by centrifugation at 4°C.

11β-HSD1 enzyme assays. 11β-HSD1 activity was analyzed as previously described (39) using 5 μg of liver and 50 μg of gonadal fat pad protein from tissue homogenates and a total concentration of 20 μM cortisone substrate and 1.2 mM NADPH co-substrate per assay after determination of optimal protein concentrations of liver and adipose tissue homogenates.

Ultraperformance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis of tissues. Sample preparation and liquid chromatography (LC)-tandem mass spectrometry (MS-MS) analysis were performed according to the method of Ronquist-Ni and Edlund (50) with modifications (see supplemental information online at the American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism website).

Systolic blood pressure measurement. Systolic blood pressure was measured in conscious, resting mice by tail cuff plethysmography as previously described (59). During the last week of treatment, vehicle and 50 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ CBX were administered to male and female A/α;LDLR⁻/⁻ and LDLR⁻/⁻ mice, the animals were trained for 3 consecutive days, and baseline systolic blood pressure was measured and averaged over the 2 succeeding days.

Food intake. For studies measuring food intake, mice were separated by genotype and housed in initially weight-matched groups of two or three mice per cage. Weighing error due to food spillage was minimized by using large, intact food pellets throughout the study and by including in the food weight assessments >0.1-g remnants of food pellets found in cage bedding. Food intake efficiency over the 4-wk treatment period was calculated using the following equation: efficiency = (total change in body weight/cumulative food intake) × 100.

Indirect calorimetry. At the end of the 1st wk of treatment, singly housed, weight-matched female A/α;LDLR⁻/⁻ mice were placed in metabolic cages for 24 h of acclimation followed by 24 h of measurement. Whole body O₂ consumption (V˙O₂), CO₂ production (V˙CO₂), and activity were measured continuously for 1 min at 15-min intervals using an indirect calorimetry system (Oxymax Deluxe, Columbus Instruments) with an airflow rate of 0.6 l/min. Oxymax software used the following equations to calculate respiratory exchange ratio (RER) and energy expenditure (heat): RER = V˙CO₂/V˙O₂ and heat = (3.815 + 1.232 × RER) × V˙O₂ (40). Heat was then normalized to lean body mass. Basal metabolic rate for each mouse was calculated by averaging heat from three 45-min intervals of least energy expenditure during the light cycle.

Plasma analyses. Plasma TG, total cholesterol (TC), and FFA were measured using TG and cholesterol reagents (Raichem) and the NEFA C kit (Wako), respectively. Blood glucose was measured using a Lifescan One Touch basic glucometer kit (Johnson & Johnson). Insulin and leptin were determined using modified insulin double-antibody RIA kits (Linco Research). Lipoprotein fractionation was achieved by fast protein LC using aliquots of pooled plasma samples from each group of mice. Plasma samples from mice used for VLDL turnover and hepatic TG production experiments were omitted from end-point plasma lipid analysis.

VLDL turnover. Plasma from fasted age-matched, WD-fed, overnight-fasted A/α;LDLR⁻/⁻ mice was pooled, and VLDL (density <1.019 g/l) was isolated by density gradient ultracentrifugation in a centrifuge (Optima TLX, Beckman Coulter). IODO-GEN precoated reaction tubes (Pierce Biotechnology) were used to incorporate ¹²⁵I

---

**Fig. 2.** Body weight and composition were affected by CBX. Vehicle- and CBX-treated female (A–C) and male (D–F) mice were weighed and body composition was analyzed throughout the 4-wk injection study. Values are means ± SE of 16–17 female LDLR⁻/⁻, 14 female A/α;LDLR⁻/⁻, 8–9 male LDLR⁻/⁻, and 9–11 A/α;LDLR⁻/⁻ mice. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 vs. vehicle.
Table 1. Fasting plasma lipid and insulin levels were attenuated by CBX treatment in A\(^{a}\)/LDLR\(^{-/-}\), but not LDLR\(^{-/-}\), mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>TC, mg/dl</th>
<th>TG, mg/dl</th>
<th>FFA, meq/l</th>
<th>Glucose, mg/dl</th>
<th>Insulin, ng/ml</th>
<th>Leptin, ng/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR(^{-/-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>754±35</td>
<td>296±27</td>
<td>1.08±0.12</td>
<td>121±6</td>
<td>0.50±0.08</td>
<td>16.2±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (50 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>757±24</td>
<td>274±19</td>
<td>1.20±0.11</td>
<td>125±6</td>
<td>0.33±0.07</td>
<td>10.2±1.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta^{a}/\alpha)LDLR(^{-/-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,858±76</td>
<td>661±51</td>
<td>1.56±0.11</td>
<td>109±4</td>
<td>2.66±0.33</td>
<td>110.4±8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (25 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,487±81†</td>
<td>520±45*</td>
<td>1.24±0.10</td>
<td>120±5</td>
<td>1.74±0.26*</td>
<td>84.7±6.9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (50 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,464±82†</td>
<td>498±29*</td>
<td>1.08±0.10†</td>
<td>116±5</td>
<td>1.38±0.21†</td>
<td>65.2±9.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR(^{-/-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,117±95</td>
<td>538±64</td>
<td>1.04±0.17</td>
<td>131±8</td>
<td>0.78±0.10</td>
<td>14.2±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (50 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,025±88</td>
<td>487±67</td>
<td>0.97±0.14</td>
<td>122±11</td>
<td>0.71±0.08</td>
<td>6.1±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta^{a}/\alpha)LDLR(^{-/-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,723±83</td>
<td>977±42</td>
<td>1.37±0.13</td>
<td>120±9</td>
<td>6.01±0.98</td>
<td>99.6±9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (25 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,528±43</td>
<td>931±37</td>
<td>1.19±0.11</td>
<td>124±6</td>
<td>5.85±0.75</td>
<td>71.3±7.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (50 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,257±114†</td>
<td>743±86*</td>
<td>0.88±0.06†</td>
<td>126±9</td>
<td>3.14±0.27*</td>
<td>45.4±5.2†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. Plasma lipids were measured in 5-h-fasted LDL receptor-deficient (LDLR\(^{-/-}\)) mice and heterozygous agouti (A\(^{a}\)/\(\alpha\)) mice bred with homozygous LDLR\(^{-/-}\) mice (\(\Delta^{a}/\alpha\)LDLR\(^{-/-}\)) mice at the end of the study. TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; FFA, free fatty acid; CBX, carbenoxolone. *P < 0.05; †P < 0.01 vs. vehicle.

Table 2. Total body weight and end weights of gonadal fat pad, liver, and kidney

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Total Body Wt, g</th>
<th>Tissue Wt, g</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gonadal fat pad</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR(^{-/-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.6±0.5</td>
<td>0.46±0.04</td>
<td>0.126±0.004</td>
<td>1.17±0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (50 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22.0±0.5*</td>
<td>0.35±0.04*</td>
<td>0.124±0.003</td>
<td>1.22±0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta^{a}/\alpha)LDLR(^{-/-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38.0±0.7</td>
<td>2.34±0.13</td>
<td>0.139±0.004</td>
<td>2.11±0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (25 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.9±0.7</td>
<td>1.91±0.12</td>
<td>0.134±0.007</td>
<td>1.84±0.07*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (50 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33.5±1.5†</td>
<td>1.74±0.17†</td>
<td>0.137±0.006</td>
<td>1.66±0.10†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR(^{-/-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29.1±0.7</td>
<td>0.89±0.14</td>
<td>0.171±0.007</td>
<td>1.39±0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (50 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.5±0.6*</td>
<td>0.59±0.09</td>
<td>0.172±0.011</td>
<td>1.47±0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta^{a}/\alpha)LDLR(^{-/-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42.5±1.0</td>
<td>2.29±0.10</td>
<td>0.157±0.009</td>
<td>2.71±0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (25 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39.9±1.1</td>
<td>2.21±0.08</td>
<td>0.160±0.005</td>
<td>2.25±0.10*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX (50 mg·kg(^{-1})·day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>38.5±0.8*</td>
<td>1.86±0.11*</td>
<td>0.173±0.004</td>
<td>2.01±0.06†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. Total body weight and tissue weights were measured on the last day of the study. *P < 0.05; †P < 0.01 vs. vehicle.
Statistical analyses. Values are means ± SE. GraphPad Prism 4 software was used to assess significance for all data sets, and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. For studies comparing chow diet-fed LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice, one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni’s post hoc test was used to determine significance. For comparisons between vehicle-treated LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice, LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice treated with vehicle and those treated with 50 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \) CBX and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice treated with vehicle and those treated with 50 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \), unpaired, two-way Student’s \( t \)-test was used to evaluate significance. For studies comparing Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice treated with vehicle, 25 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \) CBX, and 50 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \) CBX, one-way analysis of variance with Dunnett’s post hoc test was used to determine significance.

RESULTS

Measurement of CBX and its effects in liver and adipose tissue. To study effects of 11\( \beta \)-HSD1 inhibition in a mouse model of hyperlipidemia and obesity, we chose to use hyperlipidemic hyperphagic Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice, which are a mouse model of maturity-onset obesity when fed a chow diet (11, 12). A preliminary analysis of 11\( \beta \)-HSD1 expression in chow diet-fed LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice indicated that a significant increase in enzyme expression in a representative fat pad was associated with obesity in aged Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice (Fig. 1A). We observed no such change for liver 11\( \beta \)-HSD1 expression levels (Fig. 1B).

To test whether 11\( \beta \)-HSD1 inhibition affected metabolic syndrome risk factors in the context of obesity and hyperlipidemia, 2 mo-old LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice (11, 12) were fed WD for 6 wk and subsequently subcutaneously injected with vehicle, 25 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \) CBX, or 50 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \) CBX for another 4 wk while maintained on WD. Leshchenko et al. (26) established that intraperitoneal injection of rats with 50 mg/kg CBX resulted in maximal circulating levels of CBX 40–70 min after injection. At 1 h after subcutaneous injection, ultraperformance LC (UPLC)-MS-MS analysis of tissue homogenates from LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice revealed that ∼11–12% and 3–4%, respectively, of the 50 mg/kg dose was detectable in liver and gonadal fat, respectively: 5.9 ± 0.8 and 5.6 ± 1.2 \( \mu \)g/g for 8–10 LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) livers, respectively, and 1.7 ± 0.8 and 2.0 ± 0.7 \( \mu \)g/g for 4–7 LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) gonadal fat pads, respectively.

To directly assess the impact of CBX on 11\( \beta \)-HSD1 activity, we next measured enzymatic activity in homogenates of gonadal fat pads and livers dissected from Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice at the end of the study, 1 h after injection. Enzyme activity assays revealed a trend toward a decrease in 11\( \beta \)-HSD1 activity in

![Fig. 3. CBX treatment resulted in negative energy balance. A: cumulative food intake was measured for 25 days throughout the drug treatment period in male and female LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice treated with vehicle or 50 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \) CBX. Values are means ± SE of 2–3 mice per cage in 5–7 cages. B: food intake efficiency throughout the drug treatment period in male and female LDLR\(^{-/-} \) and Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice treated with vehicle or 50 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \) CBX. Values are means ± SE of 2–3 mice per cage in 5–7 cages. C: energy expenditure in weight-matched female Ay/\( \alpha \);LDLR\(^{-/-} \) mice treated with vehicle or 50 mg·kg\(^{-1} \)·day\(^{-1} \) CBX (n = 5) at the end of the 1st wk of the drug study. Values are means ± SE. *\( P < 0.01 \) vs. vehicle. #\( P < 0.001 \). ^\( P = 0.0002 \).](http://ajpendo.physiology.org/Downloaded_from/10.1152/ajpendo.00002.2007.html)
adipose tissue homogenates (P = 0.076), with no observable
effect of CBX administration on liver 11β-HSD1 activity (Fig.
1, C and D, respectively). For LDLR−/− and A′/a;LDLR−/− mice,
UPLC-MS-MS of 11-DHC-to-corticosterone ratios re-
vealed a statistically significant accumulation of 11-DHC sub-
strate over corticosterone product in gonadal fat pads of CBX-
vs. vehicle-treated mice, further confirming an inhibitory
effect of CBX on adipose tissue 11β-HSD1 reductase ac-
tivity (Fig. 1E).

CBX did not affect circulating systolic blood pressure. To
exclude the possibility that CBX impacted blood pressure and,
thus, possibly confounded an end point assessing the extent of
atherosclerosis, systolic blood pressure was measured. Sys-

temic administration of CBX had no effect on systolic blood
pressure in LDLR−/− or A′/a;LDLR−/− mice (data not shown).

Effects of subcutaneously administered CBX on body weight
and composition. Total body weight of LDLR−/− mice was
significantly lower than that of A′/a;LDLR−/− mice after 6 wk
of WD before CBX treatment (P < 0.0001; Fig. 2, A and D).
Male and female WD-fed LDLR−/− mice treated with 50
mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX showed a steady decline in body weight
that achieved significance by the end of the study. Male and
female WD-fed A′/a;LDLR−/− mice treated with 25 or 50
mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX also showed a similar, dose-dependent
reduction in body weight. Body weight changes were reflected
in differences in total fat mass (Fig. 2, B and E). CBX
treatment did not impact end-point muscle mass (Fig. 2, C
and F). Consistent with the observed reductions in total fat mass,
circulating leptin levels and gonadal fat pad weight were also
dose dependently decreased with CBX treatment by the end
of the study (Tables 1 and 2).

CBX treatment results in negative energy balance. Confirm-
ing a hyperphagic effect of hypothalamic agouti protein over-
expression in agouti mice (17), cumulative food intake data
revealed a significant difference between vehicle-treated
LDLR−/− and A′/a;LDLR−/− controls: 59 ± 4 and 75 ± 2 g,
respectively (P = 0.01; Fig. 3A). However, subcutaneous
injection of CBX at the highest dose did not significantly
impact cumulative food intake in LDLR−/− or A′/a;LDLR−/−
mice after 25 days of treatment. Food intake efficiency was
decreased in CBX- compared with vehicle-treated LDLR−/−
and A′/a;LDLR−/− mice (Fig. 3B).

Indirect calorimetry revealed a significant increase in energy
expenditure in A′/a;LDLR−/− mice treated with 50 mg·kg−1·day−1
CBX compared with vehicle-treated controls that was attribu-
table to a significant increase in light cycle energy expenditure
(Fig. 3C). In addition, basal metabolic rate was significantly
greater in 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX- than in vehicle-treated in
A′/a;LDLR−/− mice: 1.187 ± 24 vs. 1.328 ± 34 kcal·kg body
mass−1·min−1 (P = 0.0022).

CBX significantly decreased hyperinsulinemia in A′/a;
LDLR−/− mice. Because previous studies reported an insulin-
sensitizing effect of 11β-HSD1 inhibition in mice and humans
(1, 2, 20), we measured end-point glucose and insulin levels
in all mice. Although subcutaneous CBX administration had no
effect on plasma glucose and insulin in LDLR−/− mice, a
significant reduction in fasting insulin, but not glucose, levels
was observed in the more severely obese A′/a;LDLR−/− mice
(P < 0.05; Table 1).

Hyperlipidemia in A′/a;LDLR−/− mice is attenuated by CBX
treatment. Previous reports indicated that 11β-HSD1 may play
a role in regulating plasma TG and HDL levels in rodents (9,
20, 28, 29, 34, 42). In our study, neither male nor female
LDLR−/− mice showed an effect of CBX treatment on circu-

tulating TG, TC, and FFA levels (Table 1). However, CBX-
treated male and female A′/a;LDLR−/− mice showed dose-
dependent and significant reductions in all measured lipids
(P < 0.01 for TC and FFA, P < 0.05 for TG). Analysis of
lipoprotein fractions revealed a selective reduction in the
VLDL fraction (Fig. 4A).

Fig. 4. Fasting VLDL, postprandial VLDL turnover, and triglyceride
(TG) production rate were significantly affected by CBX treatment
in A′/a;LDLR−/−, but not LDLR−/− mice. A: lipoprotein distribution shown as fast pro-
tein liquid chromatography-fractionated li-
poproteins from pooled plasma samples from
5-h-fasted female mice collected at the end of
the study. B: VLDL clearance in plasma col-
lected from nonfasted male mice injected with
125I-VLDL (n = 3–4). C: hepatic TG produc-
tion determined by injection of overnigh-
tested male and female mice with tyloxo-
apol (n = 5–6). Values are means ± SE. *P < 0.05.
#P = 0.0008, A′/a;LDLR−/− CBX vs. A′/a;
LDLR−/− vehicle.
Because changes in circulating VLDL levels can be a reflection of altered clearance or hepatic secretion, we determined whether these processes were impacted by CBX treatment. Using 125I-VLDL tracer, we observed no effect on VLDL clearance due to CBX treatment in LDLR+/−/− mice (Fig. 4B). In A/a;LDLR−/− mice, CBX treatment resulted in a significant increase in VLDL clearance rate at an early time point, 10 min after injection of the VLDL tracer (P = 0.0008). Hepatic TG production rate was not impacted by CBX in LDLR+/−/− mice but was significantly reduced in CBX-treated A/a;LDLR−/− mice compared with vehicle-treated controls (P < 0.05; Fig. 4C).

CBX reduces atherosclerosis in A/a;LDLR−/− mice. Elevated plasma cholesterol levels play a well-established, proatherogenic role in humans and mice. Therefore, we sought to determine the effect of CBX treatment on lesion formation in WD-fed LDLR−/− and A/a;LDLR−/− mice. LDLR−/− mice treated with 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX showed a slight, but nonsignificant, decrease (15% in females and 18% in males) in aortic root lesion area (Fig. 5). However, A/a;LDLR−/− mice showed a dose-dependent and significant decrease in atherosclerotic lesion area with treatment: 12% and 26% in 25 and 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX-treated females and 12% and 28% in 25 and 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX-treated males (P < 0.05, vehicle vs. 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX).

Decreased hepatic steatosis due to CBX treatment. Because end-point liver weights (Table 2) and hepatic TG production rates were dramatically decreased by CBX in A/a;LDLR−/− mice, liver lipid content was assessed in mice treated with vehicle and 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX. Oil red O staining for neutral lipids indicated an increase in lipid levels in LDLR−/− and A/a;LDLR−/− vehicle-treated controls (Fig. 6A). Hepatic neutral lipid content was decreased by CBX treatment in LDLR−/− and A/a;LDLR−/− mice. Variations in

![Fig. 5. Atherosclerotic lesion area was significantly decreased in CBX-treated A/a;LDLR−/−, but not LDLR−/−, mice. A: representative cross sections of oil red O-stained aortic root lesions from female mice. Magnification ×40. B and C: quantification of aortic root lesion areas for female LDLR−/− (n = 16) and A/a;LDLR−/− (n = 13–14) mice (B) and male LDLR−/− (n = 14–15) and A/a;LDLR−/− mice treated with vehicle (n = 16) and A/a;LDLR−/− mice treated with 25 (n = 9) and 50 (n = 19) mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX (C). Values are means ± SE. *P < 0.05.](image-url)
neutral lipid content were attributable to significant differences in liver TG and unesterified cholesterol levels, as well as a trend toward decreased esterified cholesterol content; CBX treatment had no effect on hepatic phospholipid or FFA composition (Fig. 6B and data not shown).

CBX treatment impacts hepatic gene expression. Hepatic TG and cholesterol content may be modulated by lipogenic processes, cholesterol synthesis, and cholesterol metabolism within the liver. Real-time PCR gene expression analysis revealed striking differences between LDLR−/− and Ay/a; LDLR−/− vehicle-treated controls. Triacylglycerol hydrolase/carboxylesterase, liver X receptor-α, and cytochrome P-450 (CYP27A1) expression levels were increased 1.5-, 6.2-, and 2.2-fold in Ay/a;LDLR−/− vehicle-treated relative to LDLR−/− vehicle-treated mice (P < 0.01, P = 0.0002, and P < 0.0001, respectively). Consistent with the reductions in hepatic lipid content, key genes regulating hepatic TG and cholesterol accumulation were downregulated in CBX-treated LDLR−/− and Ay/a;LDLR−/− mice (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

We have shown that subcutaneous administration enables CBX to target liver and adipose tissue, both key metabolic tissues, ultimately resulting in profound metabolic changes in WD-fed LDLR−/− and Ay/a;LDLR−/− hyperlipidemic mouse models of obesity. In Ay/a;LDLR−/− mice, CBX treatment reduced plasma VLDL levels due to a small increase in the rate of VLDL uptake and a more dramatic decrease in the rate of hepatic TG production. The extent of atherogenesis, as assessed at the aortic root, was also significantly reduced in CBX-treated Ay/a;LDLR−/− mice despite the short (4-wk) treatment period. In LDLR−/− and Ay/a;LDLR−/− mice, CBX treatment ameliorated hepatic steatosis due to reductions in liver TG and unesterified cholesterol content. This was associated with decreased expression of genes involved in hepatic lipogenesis, cholesterol synthesis, and cholesterol metabolism. These results highlight a role of 11β-HSD1 activity in the regulation of VLDL metabolism and secretion, as well as adiposity, insulin sensitivity, hepatic steatosis, and atherosclerosis, all of which are associated with metabolic syndrome in humans.

We chose to use the nonselective 11β-HSD inhibitor CBX at the onset of our study, because it was the only commercial 11β-HSD inhibitor available at the time. Since the initiation of our study, several specific 11β-HSD1 inhibitors have been characterized and utilized in rodent studies (1, 8, 20). However,
CBX is commonly used in studies assessing the outcomes of modulation of 11β-HSD1 enzyme activity in humans (4, 47, 51, 56, 58). Although use of CBX as a long-term treatment for patients with the metabolic syndrome is limited because of its well-established hypertensive and hypokalemic side effects, resulting from inhibition of renal 11β-HSD2 (45), the results of the present study suggest that specific inhibition of 11β-HSD1 may be particularly beneficial in humans diagnosed with more than one metabolic syndrome risk factor. For the purpose of our study, the lack of effect of CBX on systolic blood pressure may occur with oral ingestion, thus potentially enabling more of the CBX to bypass first-pass hepatic metabolism that may result in additional metabolic improvements, such as blunted energy expenditure, and thus obesity, in our mice. However, CBX-treated mice showed a 100% increase in food intake, with no detectable change in lean body mass, revealing that the drug treatment was not causing wasting in the mice. In CBX-treated LDLR−/− mice, decreased body fat mass was attributable to negative energy balance due to increased basal metabolic rate. Transgenic modulation of adipose tissue glucocorticoid regeneration in mice has been shown to impact energy expenditure (23, 30), and it is possible that inhibition of adipose tissue 11β-HSD1 activity was key to the effects on energy expenditure, and thus obesity, in our mice. However, effects of CBX-mediated 11β-HSD1 inhibition on other metabolic tissues involved in energy expenditure, such as skeletal muscle and brown adipose tissue, cannot be excluded. For instance, Berthiaume et al. (9) recently reported that, in a rat model of diet-induced obesity, 3 wk of treatment with a specific 11β-HSD1 inhibitor resulted in elevated lipid oxidation product accumulation and/or increased expression of genes involved in fatty acid oxidation in brown adipose tissue, heart, and skeletal muscle.

Hermanowski-Vosatka et al. (20) utilized a specific 11β-HSD1 inhibitor to determine effects on plasma lipids in lean, hyperlipidemic apolipoprotein E−/− mice. During the preparation of our manuscript, two more reports emerged regarding the effects of 11β-HSD1-specific inhibition on white adipose tissue lipolysis and plasma TGs (9, 56). The results from the present study not only confirm that 11β-HSD1 inhibition

### Table 3. CBX treatment impacted expression levels of genes involved in lipogenesis, cholesterol metabolism, and cholesterol synthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>LDLR−/− Vehicle</th>
<th>CBX</th>
<th>LDLR−/− Vehicle</th>
<th>CBX</th>
<th>A%/α-LDLR−/− Vehicle</th>
<th>CBX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic lipase</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.18*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGH/CES3</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.07‡</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.07‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipogenesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGAT1</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGAT2</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.07‡</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPAT</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.08*</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SREBP1c</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol metabolism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP27A1</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.11*</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.07‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP27A1</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FXR</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXR-α</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.07‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol synthesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMG-CoA reductase</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.09*</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMG-CoA synthase</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.06*</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. Gene expression was measured in livers of representative, 5-h-fasted female mice (*n* = 6) at the end of the study. Expression levels for mice treated with 50 mg·kg−1·day−1 CBX are normalized to those for vehicle-treated LDLR−/− or A%/α-LDLR controls. *P < 0.05; †P < 0.01; ‡P < 0.005; §P < 0.001 vs. vehicle. DGAT, diacylglycerol acyltransferase; GPAT, glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase; SREBP1c, sterol regulatory binding protein 1c; TGH/CES3, triacylglycerol hydrolase/carboxylesterase 3; CYP, cytochrome P-450; FXR, farnesoid X-activated receptor; LXR-α, liver X receptor-α; HMG-CoA, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A.
impacts fasting plasma FFA, cholesterol, and TG levels, but they also extend the knowledge of the effects of systemic 11β-HSD1 inhibition on plasma lipids in two mouse models of combined diet-induced obesity, hyperlipidemia, and atherosclerosis. Glucocorticoids are well established to stimulate lipolysis in adipose tissue, and increased expression or activity of 11β-HSD1 within white adipose tissue may serve to potentiate such an effect. However, in our study, only A/α; LDLR−/− mice showed a significant effect of CBX treatment on plasma lipids. It is possible that LDLR deficiency itself may have rendered LDLR−/− mice incapable of showing a rescue effect of CBX treatment on elevated plasma lipids, whereas the more severely hyperlipidemic A/α;LDLR−/− mice were capable of normalizing plasma lipid levels to those observed for LDLR−/− mice via other, non-LDLR-mediated, pathways. Alternatively, because fasting insulin and FFAs were dramatically reduced by CBX treatment in A/α;LDLR−/− mice, although neither parameter was affected in LDLR−/− mice, insulin-sensitizing effects of 11β-HSD1 inhibition in adipose tissue may have contributed to changes in plasma FFAs in A/α;LDLR−/− mice.

Hepatic secretion of apolipoprotein B, particularly VLDL, can be affected by degree of FFA flux (61), and it is likely that reductions in hepatic TG production rate and fasting plasma VLDL in CBX-treated A/α;LDLR−/− mice were a direct consequence of the decreased circulating FFA levels. In LDLR−/− mice, there was no observable effect of CBX treatment on plasma FFA or VLDL. Interestingly, in LDLR−/− and A/α;LDLR−/− mice, a significant and dramatic reduction was observed in the expression of hepatic triacylglycerol hydrolase/carnitine palmitoyltransferase 3, an enzyme involved in the mobilization of TG from hepatocyte lipid droplets during VLDL assembly (18). Moreover, glucocorticoids are well established to increase VLDL secretion by the liver, and it is possible that the effect on hepatic TG production rate in A/α;LDLR−/− mice was partially due to an inhibitory effect of CBX on local glucocorticoid regeneration.

Consistent with decreased hepatic TG and cholesterol content in LDLR−/− and A/α;LDLR−/− mice, we observed reduced liver expression of several genes involved in de novo lipogenesis and cholesterol synthesis and metabolism. CBX-mediated inhibition of adipose tissue glucocorticoid regeneration may have impacted hepatic steatosis. 11β-HSD1 expression in adipose tissue is elevated in obese rats and humans (10, 22, 27, 46). It has been proposed that a resulting increased flux of glucocorticoids regenerated by visceral adipose tissue enters the portal circulation, impacting glucocorticoid-mediated events at sites within the splanchnic bed (3, 30). In this manner, adipose-derived glucocorticoids may modulate the expression of lipogenic genes in hepatocytes. Alternatively, CBX-mediated inhibition of local glucocorticoid regeneration within hepatocytes may also have impacted lipogenic gene expression. In keeping with an inhibitory effect of CBX on glucocorticoid regeneration, reductions in liver expression of CYP7A1, a glucocorticoid-inducible gene (44), were observed in LDLR−/− and A/α;LDLR−/− mice treated with CBX compared with vehicle-treated controls. However, possible confounding effects of CBX on 5β-reductase activity (25), and thus hepatic cholesterol metabolism, cannot be excluded from our interpretation of these data.

Only A/α;LDLR−/− mice showed significant decreases in aortic root lesion area with CBX treatment, and this effect is most likely due to effects of the drug on plasma lipid levels. However, because VLDL induces lipid loading as well as proinflammatory cytokine expression in macrophages (52, 54), reductions in circulating VLDL may have attenuated atherogenesis not only by impacting lipid accumulation, but also by decreasing inflammation at the site of the lesions. In addition, as speculated by Hermanowski-Vosatka et al. (20), local inhibition of 11β-HSD1 in the arterial wall may also impact atherogenesis. This hypothesis is supported by our data showing a trend in reduction of lesion area, despite the absence of effect on plasma lipids, in CBX-treated LDLR−/− mice. Alternatively, as increased adiposity is associated with elevated circulating proinflammatory cytokines and reduced secretion of the atheroprotective adipokine adiponectin, the effect of differences in adiposity due to CBX treatment on these factors, and thus atherogenesis, cannot be excluded.

Taken together, our results support the hypothesis that 11β-HSD1 activity influences obesity, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerosis, which are risk factors or outcomes of the metabolic syndrome. Our findings hold key implications for future therapies aiming to inhibit 11β-HSD1 in patients with metabolic syndrome, highlighting the importance of inhibitors created to selectively target adipose tissue enzyme activity.
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