Basal muscle intracellular amino acid kinetics in women and men

Satoshi Fujita,1,2 Blake B. Rasmussen,3,4 Jill A. Bell,3 Jerson G. Cadenas,1,2 and Elena Volpi1,2

Departments of 1Medicine, 2Kinesiology and Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California; and Departments of 3Internal Medicine and 4Physical Therapy, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas

Submitted 10 April 2006; accepted in final form 2 August 2006

Fujita S, Rasmussen BB, Bell JA, Cadenas JG, Volpi E. Basal muscle intracellular amino acid kinetics in women and men. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 292: E77–E83, 2007. First published August 8, 2006; doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00173.2006.—Sexual dimorphism in skeletal muscle mass is apparent, with men having more muscle mass and larger individual muscle cells. However, no sex-based differences have been detected in blood forearm phenylalanine turnover, although whole body leucine oxidation has been reported to be greater in men than in women. We hypothesized that sex differences in intracellular amino acid turnover may account for these discrepancies, with men having a higher intracellular turnover than women. We studied young, healthy women (women, n = 8) and men (men, n = 10) following an overnight fast. Phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine muscle intracellular kinetics were assessed using stable isotope methodologies, femoral arteriovenous blood sampling, and muscle biopsies. Muscle intracellular amino acid kinetics were reported relative to both leg volume and lean leg mass because of sex differences in leg volume and in muscle and fat distribution. When expressed per leg volume (nmol·min⁻¹·100 ml leg volume⁻¹), phenylalanine net balance (women: -16 ± 4, men: -31 ± 5), release from proteolysis in the blood (women: 46 ± 9, men: 75 ± 10) and intracellular availability (women: 149 ± 23, men: 241 ± 35), and alanine production, utilization, and intracellular availability were higher in men (P < 0.05). However, when the kinetic parameters were normalized per unit of lean leg mass, all differences disappeared. Muscle fractional synthetic rate was also not different between women and men. We conclude that there are no sex-based differences in basal muscle intracellular amino acid turnover when the data are normalized by lean mass. It remains to be determined if there are sex differences in intracellular amino acid metabolism following anabolic or catabolic stimuli.

sexual differences in human skeletal muscle mass are apparent. Muscle fiber type composition is not different between women and men; however, cross-sectional area is larger for all fiber types in men (27, 35). Interestingly, the largest muscle fibers in men appear to be fast-twitch type IIa fibers, whereas in women the slow-twitch type I fibers have the largest cross-sectional area (27). Furthermore, during short-term limb immobilization, men and women have a similar reduction in muscle cell size, although women have larger reductions in strength (35).

The sexual dimorphism in muscle mass is mainly attributed to endocrine differences between women and men because testosterone concentrations are usually ~10-fold higher in men (35). It has been shown that castration reduces muscle mass while testosterone administration at replacement or supraphysiological doses increases muscle mass in hypogonadal (3, 5) and normal men (2), respectively. These effects are likely due to a stimulation of muscle protein synthesis by testosterone (7).

Muscle mass and cell size is determined by the balance between muscle protein synthesis and muscle protein breakdown (i.e., muscle protein turnover), with net deposition of protein occurring when the synthesis rates exceed the breakdown rates (23). When basal postabsorptive muscle protein turnover was measured in men and women using the traditional two-pool arteriovenous (AV) isotope dilution technique across the forearm, estimates of muscle protein synthesis and breakdown were reported to be higher in men (9), suggesting a greater muscle plasticity. A greater basal plasticity in men would favorably affect the response to anabolic stimuli, in terms of both speed and magnitude of the response. However, because adult women have an increased proportion of peripheral fat mass, when the kinetic data were expressed per amount of forearm muscle, rather than forearm volume, these differences disappeared (9). Nonetheless, several investigators have reported significant differences in whole body leucine kinetics, with men exhibiting higher rates of leucine oxidation both at rest and during aerobic exercise, even after correction of the data by lean body mass (13, 14, 16, 19, 30). Because leucine is mainly oxidized in the muscle, a higher leucine oxidation indicates a greater net muscle amino acid/protein catabolism in men, which contrasts with the muscle kinetic data previously reported (9).

One possible explanation for these discrepancies is that the traditional two-pool AV model used to estimate muscle protein breakdown and synthesis cannot detect the actual rates of muscle protein synthesis and breakdown occurring inside the muscle cells (Fig. 1) because it measures blood amino acid kinetics, i.e., disappearance of amino acids from the blood in the muscle (Rd) and appearance in the blood of amino acids released from breakdown (Rd). However, it cannot measure differences in intracellular amino acid utilization for synthesis and oxidation if the amino acids directly derive from protein breakdown without first appearing in the circulation. Thus it is possible that men have an overall greater muscle protein turnover and/or a lower intracellular amino acid recycling into synthesis with a consequent increased oxidation of amino acids directly deriving from proteolysis. This hypothesis can be tested using a three-pool AV model, which expands upon the two-pool model, and enables the measurement of amino acid kinetics in the intracellular muscle pool. Additionally, it is also possible to determine differences in muscle protein synthesis by directly measuring the incorporation of labeled amino acids into proteins using the precursor-product method (i.e., fractional synthetic rate of muscle proteins), which is independent of muscle size or body composition.
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Thus we tested whether basal intracellular amino acid turnover is greater and/or intracellular amino acid recycling into synthesis is lower in men than in women by measuring the kinetics of two essential (phenylalanine, leucine) and one nonessential amino acid (alanine) and muscle protein synthesis in the skeletal muscle of young women and men using three different models.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

Subjects. We studied 18 young subjects (8 women and 10 men) from the Los Angeles metropolitan area. All subjects were healthy and physically active, but they were not engaged in an exercise training program. All subjects had a stable body weight for the past 3 mo before the experiment. All women were studied in the early follicular phase since it has been reported that progesterone may affect protein kinetics as it increases whole body leucine flux and oxidation (15).

Screening of subjects was performed with clinical history, physical exam, and laboratory tests, including complete blood count with differential, liver, and kidney function tests, coagulation profile, fasting blood glucose and oral glucose tolerance test, hepatitis B and C screening, human immunodeficiency virus test, thyroid-stimulating hormone (thyrotropin), lipid profile, pregnancy test in women, urinalysis, drug screening, and electrocardiogram. Only subjects with normal screening results were admitted to the experiment. The subjects’ characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

All subjects gave informed written consent before participating in the study, which was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Southern California (Los Angeles, CA).

Study design. The protocol was designed to measure muscle protein and amino acid kinetics in the postabsorptive basal state. Before the study, each subject was admitted to the General Clinical Research Center of the University of Southern California. At admission, a pregnancy test was repeated in women, and a dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scan (model QDR 4500W; Hologic, Bedford, MA) was performed to measure lean body mass and lean leg mass (25). The subjects were then fed a standard dinner, and a snack was given at 2200. After 2200, the subject was allowed only water ad libitum until the end of the experiment. The morning of the study, polyethylene catheters were inserted in a forearm vein for tracer infusion, in a contralateral hand or wrist vein for arterialized blood sampling, and in the femoral artery and vein of one leg for blood sampling. The arterial catheter was also used for the infusion of indocyanine green (ICG; Akorn, Buffalo Grove, IL).

At ~0730, after drawing a blood sample for the measurement of background amino acid enrichments and ICG concentration, a primed continuous infusion of L-[ring-13C6]phenylalanine, L-[5,5,5-2H3]leucine and L-[1-13C]alanine (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA) was started and maintained at a constant rate until the end of the experiment. The following priming doses (PD) and infusion rates (IR) were used: L-[ring-13C6]phenylalanine: PD = 2 μmol/kg, IR = 0.05 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; L-[5,5,5-2H3]leucine: PD = 4.8 μmol/kg, IR = 0.08 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; L-[1-13C]alanine: PD = 35 μmol/kg, IR = 0.35 mmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹.

At 1 h, the first muscle biopsy was taken from the lateral portion of the vastus lateralis of the leg with the femoral catheters, using a 5-mm Bergström biopsy needle, sterile procedure, and local anesthesia with 1% lidocaine injected subcutaneously and on the fascia. The muscle sample was rinsed with ice-cold saline and blotted, any visible fat or connective tissue was quickly removed, and it was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at ~80°C until analysis. Unpublished data from our laboratory indicate that the time from biopsy to freezing does not affect the tissue amino acid enrichment if the time frame is contained within a few seconds.

Ten minutes prior to 3 h, the continuous infusion of ICG was started in the femoral artery (0.5 mg/min) and maintained for 40 min. During ICG infusion, blood samples were taken four times, at 10-min intervals, from the femoral vein and the hand vein to measure ICG concentration. Subsequently, during the next 30 min, four blood samples were taken from the femoral artery and vein to measure femoral arterial and venous amino acid and glucose concentrations and enrichments and insulin concentrations. At 4 h, a second muscle biopsy was taken as previously described. The blood draws and the last biopsy for the measurement of intracellular muscle amino acid kinetics were taken ~12 h after the last meal.

**Table 1. Physical characteristics of the women and men**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects’ Characteristics</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of subjects</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>26±2</td>
<td>27±2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, m</td>
<td>1.61±0.03</td>
<td>1.75±0.02</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body wt, kg</td>
<td>60±5</td>
<td>75±4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.0±1.3</td>
<td>24.5±1.3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean body mass, kg</td>
<td>41±3</td>
<td>57±2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass, kg</td>
<td>17±2</td>
<td>14±3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg volume, liters</td>
<td>8.4±0.7</td>
<td>9.7±0.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean leg mass, kg</td>
<td>6.7±0.6</td>
<td>9.7±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg fat mass, kg</td>
<td>3.4±0.4</td>
<td>2.3±0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE.
Analysis. Serum ICG concentration for the determination of leg blood flow was measured spectrophotometrically (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) at λ = 805 nm (10, 11).

Concentrations and enrichments of blood phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine were determined on their tert-butylidimethylsilyl derivatives using appropriate internal standards (L-[15N]phenylalanine, L-[3H]leucine, L-[2H]alanine) and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GCMS; 6890 Plus GC, 5973N MSD/DS, 7683 autosampler; Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) as previously described (33).

Muscle tissue samples were ground, and intracellular free amino acids and muscle proteins were extracted as previously described (33). Intracellular free concentrations and enrichments of phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine were determined by GCMS using appropriate internal standards (L-[15N]phenylalanine, L-[3H]leucine, and L-[2H]alanine; see Ref. 33). Mixed-muscle protein-bound phenylalanine enrichment was analyzed by GCMS after protein hydrolysis and amino acid extraction (33), using the external standard curve approach (6).

Calculations. The kinetics of muscle phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine were calculated using two different methods as follows: the two-pool model and the three-pool model (34). Each model provides unique information regarding muscle amino acid kinetics (Fig. 1). Specifically, the two-pool model allows for the measurement of the rate of appearance (0) of blood amino acids across the leg, although it does not offer any insight into the intracellular amino acid kinetics. The three-pool model allows for the direct measurement of the amino acid intracellular turnover, including utilization for protein synthesis (only when using phenylalanine) or synthesis plus oxidation (when using leucine and alanine), and release from protein breakdown (with phenylalanine and leucine) or protein synthesis plus de novo synthesis when using alanine. It is important to underscore that an accurate estimation of muscle protein turnover (simultaneous measurement of synthesis and breakdown) can be obtained only with the phenylalanine tracer, since phenylalanine is not oxidized or synthesized by the muscle. Therefore, the three-pool model also allows for the calculation of amino acid recycling from breakdown into synthesis from phenylalanine data.

The two- and the three-pool models share most of the assumptions, which are (34): 1) an isotopic steady state exists; 2) for calculation of breakdown, there is no de novo synthesis of tracee. This is true for phenylalanine and leucine; 3) for calculation of synthesis, there is no tracee oxidation. This is true for phenylalanine; 4) muscle accounts for leg metabolism. This is not really accurate. However, the contribution of skin metabolism is lower in the leg than in the forearm (the only other region available to measure muscle amino acid kinetics with these methods) because of the significant differences in the ratio between the limb surface area and muscle mass; 5) if plasma enrichment is measured, equilibration between plasma and red blood cells is assumed; 6) individual amino acid tracers are representative of the fate of other amino acids. In our case, this is relevant only for the estimates of muscle protein synthesis and breakdown with phenylalanine, since we measured the kinetics of three amino acids to determine their independent fates; and 7) differences in enrichment between compartments are large enough to be measured.

Assumptions specific to the three-pool model are as follows: 1) the intracellular free pool is the precursor for synthesis; and 2) tissue measurements reflect homogeneous intracellular space.

Assumptions that are specific to the two-pool model are as follows: 1) R0 is a measure of the muscle protein synthesis rate. This is not exact, since R0 measures the irreversible uptake of tracer from blood, which is a composite measure of transport across the muscle and protein synthesis. Therefore, for example, an increase in R0 could occur without any increase in protein synthesis if inward transport is increased. On the other hand, protein synthesis may increase without changes in R0 if inward transport does not change, but intracellular amino acid recycling increases; 2) R1 represents muscle protein breakdown. This is not entirely true since R1 represents the rate of release in the blood of amino acid derived from protein breakdown. Thus it is another composite measure of breakdown and outward transport. If transport does not change but breakdown increases, then the following two scenarios could occur: 1) increased intracellular recycling or 2) increased intracellular amino acid pool size.

Thus the overall number of assumptions for the two- and the three-pool models is similar, and they share most, but not all, assumptions.

The two- and the three-pool model share most of the assumptions, and they share most, but not all, assumptions.
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Calculations. The kinetics of muscle phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine were calculated using two different methods as follows: the two-pool model and the three-pool model (34). Each model provides unique information regarding muscle amino acid kinetics (Fig. 1). Specifically, the two-pool model allows for the measurement of the rate of appearance (0) of blood amino acids across the leg, although it does not offer any insight into the intracellular amino acid kinetics. The three-pool model allows for the direct measurement of the amino acid intracellular turnover, including utilization for protein synthesis (only when using phenylalanine) or synthesis plus oxidation (when using leucine and alanine), and release from protein breakdown (with phenylalanine and leucine) or protein synthesis plus de novo synthesis when using alanine. It is important to underscore that an accurate estimation of muscle protein turnover (simultaneous measurement of synthesis and breakdown) can be obtained only with the phenylalanine tracer, since phenylalanine is not oxidized or synthesized by the muscle. Therefore, the three-pool model also allows for the calculation of amino acid recycling from breakdown into synthesis from phenylalanine data.

The two- and the three-pool models share most of the assumptions, which are (34): 1) an isotopic steady state exists; 2) for calculation of breakdown, there is no de novo synthesis of tracee. This is true for phenylalanine and leucine; 3) for calculation of synthesis, there is no tracee oxidation. This is true for phenylalanine; 4) muscle accounts for leg metabolism. This is not really accurate. However, the contribution of skin metabolism is lower in the leg than in the forearm (the only other region available to measure muscle amino acid kinetics with these methods) because of the significant differences in the ratio between the limb surface area and muscle mass; 5) if plasma enrichment is measured, equilibration between plasma and red blood cells is assumed; 6) individual amino acid tracers are representative of the fate of other amino acids. In our case, this is relevant only for the estimates of muscle protein synthesis and breakdown with phenylalanine, since we measured the kinetics of three amino acids to determine their independent fates; and 7) differences in enrichment between compartments are large enough to be measured.
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Additionally, the intracellular amino acid availability was calculated as the sum of transport into the muscle $F_{MA}$ and the intracellular rate of appearance $F_{MO}$.

Intracellular amino acid availability = $F_{MA} + F_{MO}$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Using phenylalanine, the efficiency of amino acid utilization for muscle protein synthesis was calculated as follows:

Efficiency of amino acid utilization for protein synthesis = $\frac{F_{OM}}{F_{MA} + F_{MO}}$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Intracellular recycling of phenylalanine from breakdown to synthesis without appearing in the circulation was calculated as follows:

Recycling = $F_{OM} - \text{Leg Rd}$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

We also calculated the fractional synthetic rate (FSR) of mixed muscle proteins by measuring the incorporation rate of the phenylalanine tracer into the proteins ($\Delta E_p(t)$) and using the precursor-product model to calculate the synthesis rate as follows (28):

$\text{FSR} = \frac{\Delta E_p(t)}{|(E_{M1} + E_{M2})|/2} \times 100$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$\Delta E_p$ is the increment in protein-bound phenylalanine enrichment between the two biopsies, $t$ is the time between the two biopsies, and $E_{M1}$ and $E_{M2}$ are the phenylalanine enrichments in the free intracellular pool in the two biopsies, respectively. Data are expressed as percentage per hour.

Statistical analysis. Primary outcomes were measures of muscle protein synthesis and breakdown. Subjects’ characteristics and basal amino acid kinetics were analyzed using an unpaired two-tailed Student’s $t$-test. Differences were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$. $P$ for trend was set at $P < 0.10$ and $P > 0.05$. Data are expressed as means $\pm$ SE.

RESULTS

Subjects’ characteristics. The subjects’ demographic and physical characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were no differences in age, total fat mass, body mass index, and leg volume between men and women. However, men had significantly greater body weight, height, lean body mass, and lean leg mass. The proportion of lean leg mass relative to the total leg mass was greater in men as well (64 $\pm$ 1 and 78 $\pm$ 2% for women and men, respectively; $P < 0.05$).

Blood flow. Blood flow to the leg was not different between women and men whether expressed per 100 ml leg volume (women: 3.42 $\pm$ 0.37, men: 3.74 $\pm$ 0.35 ml·min$^{-1}$·100 ml leg volume$^{-1}$) or per 100 g of lean leg mass (women: 4.30 $\pm$ 0.45, men: 3.71 $\pm$ 0.36 ml·min$^{-1}$·100 g leg muscle$^{-1}$).

Amino acid enrichments and concentrations. Amino acid concentrations and enrichments in the femoral artery and vein and in the muscle are reported in a table available only electronically. (The online version of this article contains supplemental data.) The average concentrations of phenylalanine, alanine, and leucine in femoral artery and vein and muscle were not different between women and men. Enrichments of phenylalanine, alanine, and leucine were at steady state during the sampling period (data not shown) and were not different between groups except for venous phenylalanine and alanine enrichments, which were lower ($P < 0.05$) in men.

Leg amino acid kinetics. Basal leg and muscle phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine kinetics expressed per 100 ml leg volume are shown in Table 2.

Parameters common to both models. Delivery of phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine to the leg and release from the leg were not different between groups. Phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine net balance were negative in all subjects. However, phenylalanine net balance was significantly lower in men than women ($P < 0.05$), whereas there were no significant differences in alanine and leucine net balance between groups.

Two-pool model. Leg $R_d$ for phenylalanine ($P = 0.05$) and alanine ($P = 0.05$) were greater in men, whereas leucine $R_d$ was not different between the groups. Alanine $R_d$ was significantly higher in men than women ($P = 0.01$), whereas

### Table 2. Basal leg phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine kinetics in women and men expressed per unit of leg volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters common to both models</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net balance</td>
<td>$-16 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$-31 \pm 5$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery to the leg</td>
<td>$198 \pm 21$</td>
<td>$228 \pm 26$</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from the leg</td>
<td>$214 \pm 24$</td>
<td>$259 \pm 31$</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-pool model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_d$ (release in blood from proteolysis)</td>
<td>$46 \pm 9$</td>
<td>$75 \pm 10$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_d$ (disappearance from the blood)</td>
<td>$30 \pm 7$</td>
<td>$45 \pm 5$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-pool model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport into muscle free pool</td>
<td>$94 \pm 14$</td>
<td>$155 \pm 29$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport from muscle free pool</td>
<td>$110 \pm 16$</td>
<td>$185 \pm 32$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from proteolysis</td>
<td>$54 \pm 11$</td>
<td>$86 \pm 11$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization for protein synthesis</td>
<td>$39 \pm 9$</td>
<td>$56 \pm 7$</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency of amino acids used for synthesis, %</td>
<td>$25 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$26 \pm 3$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular availability</td>
<td>$149 \pm 23$</td>
<td>$241 \pm 35$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling</td>
<td>$8 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$11 \pm 4$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leg leucine kinetics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters common to both models</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net balance</td>
<td>$-30 \pm 8$</td>
<td>$-33 \pm 6$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery to the leg</td>
<td>$535 \pm 62$</td>
<td>$594 \pm 72$</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from the leg</td>
<td>$566 \pm 67$</td>
<td>$627 \pm 77$</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-pool model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_d$ (release in blood from proteolysis)</td>
<td>$145 \pm 21$</td>
<td>$190 \pm 21$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_d$ (disappearance from the blood)</td>
<td>$115 \pm 18$</td>
<td>$157 \pm 17$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-pool model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport into muscle free pool</td>
<td>$257 \pm 56$</td>
<td>$299 \pm 53$</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport from muscle free pool</td>
<td>$287 \pm 57$</td>
<td>$332 \pm 56$</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from proteolysis</td>
<td>$208 \pm 39$</td>
<td>$295 \pm 48$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization for protein synthesis + oxidation</td>
<td>$177 \pm 36$</td>
<td>$262 \pm 45$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular availability</td>
<td>$465 \pm 72$</td>
<td>$594 \pm 74$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alanine kinetics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters common to both models</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net balance</td>
<td>$-244 \pm 57$</td>
<td>$-274 \pm 38$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery to the leg</td>
<td>$853 \pm 88$</td>
<td>$1,034 \pm 114$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from the leg</td>
<td>$1,097 \pm 129$</td>
<td>$1,308 \pm 130$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-pool model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_d$ (release in blood from proteolysis + de novo synthesis)</td>
<td>$688 \pm 95$</td>
<td>$981 \pm 97$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_d$ (disappearance from the blood)</td>
<td>$444 \pm 59$</td>
<td>$707 \pm 67$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-pool model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport into muscle free pool</td>
<td>$344 \pm 33$</td>
<td>$461 \pm 45$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport from muscle free pool</td>
<td>$588 \pm 72$</td>
<td>$734 \pm 71$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from proteolysis</td>
<td>$1,306 \pm 305$</td>
<td>$2,873 \pm 364$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization for protein synthesis + oxidation</td>
<td>$1,539 \pm 281$</td>
<td>$2,599 \pm 348$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular availability</td>
<td>$2,127 \pm 310$</td>
<td>$3,333 \pm 389$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means $\pm$ SE in nmol·min$^{-1}$·100 ml leg volume$^{-1}$ unless otherwise indicated. $R_a$, rate of appearance; $R_d$, rate of disappearance.
phenylalanine and leucine R_d were not different between groups.

Three-pool model. Alanine transport in the muscle tended to be greater in men than women (P = 0.06), whereas phenylalanine and leucine transport in the muscle was not different between groups. The transport of phenylalanine out of the muscle tended to be greater in men (P = 0.07), whereas alanine and leucine outward transport was not different between groups. Phenylalanine release from protein breakdown tended to be greater in men (P = 0.06), and alanine release from protein breakdown plus de novo synthesis was significantly greater (P = 0.04) in men than women. There was no difference in leucine release from protein breakdown between groups. Alanine utilization for protein synthesis and oxidation was significantly greater in men than women (P = 0.04), whereas the utilization of phenylalanine for protein synthesis and the utilization of leucine for synthesis plus oxidation were not different between women and men. Intracellular availability of phenylalanine was higher in men (P = 0.05), whereas intracellular availability of alanine and leucine were not different between women and men. Phenylalanine recycling from breakdown into synthesis without appearance in the circulation was not different between women and men.

Given the significant difference in lean body mass and in the fraction of lean mass per leg volume between sexes, the parameters of amino acid kinetics were normalized per 100 g of lean leg mass (Table 3). When the kinetic parameters were expressed relative to lean leg mass, all values became closely matched between women and men, and all significant differences were no longer observed. Because the between-subject variability was somewhat large, we performed a power analysis on the intracellular amino acid utilization and release parameters (normalized by lean leg mass) that indicates that we would have needed a much larger sample size to detect significant sex differences in intracellular amino acid metabolism: >70 subjects for phenylalanine, >143 subjects for leucine, and >46 subjects for alanine.

Mixed muscle FSR. The mixed muscle protein FSR is shown in Fig. 2. During the basal postabsorptive state, there was no difference in FSR between women and men, confirming the amino acid kinetic data normalized by muscle mass.

**DISCUSSION**

Our experiment indicates that the basal muscle intracellular kinetics of one nonessential and two essential amino acids, as well as plasma amino acid kinetics, muscle protein synthesis, and breakdown, are not different between women and men when the data are normalized by lean mass. This finding rejects the hypothesis that muscle intracellular amino acid turnover is greater or recycling into synthesis lower in men. Consequently, our finding suggests that the greater whole body leucine oxidation rates reported in men in the basal state must be due to sex differences in leucine oxidation at the level of other tissues, for example, the splanchnic tissues. More importantly, these data suggest that the metabolic mechanisms that cause and sustain the sexual dimorphism in muscle mass should be mostly active during puberty and, in the adult years, during acute anabolic stimulation, such as nutrient intake and/or muscle contraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Basal leg phenylalanine, leucine, and alanine kinetics in women and men expressed per unit of lean leg mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leg phenylalanine kinetics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters common to both models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net balance across the leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery to the leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from the leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-pool model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_d (release in blood from proteolysis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_s (disappearance from the blood)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-pool model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport into muscle free pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport from muscle free pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release from proteolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization for protein synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency of amino acids used for synthesis, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Leg leucine kinetics** |
| Parameters common to both models | Women | Men | P Value |
| Net balance across the leg | −37±10 | −32±6 | 0.66 |
| Delivery to the leg | 677±73 | 591±74 | 0.48 |
| Release from the leg | 705±80 | 623±79 | 0.48 |
| Two-pool model | |
| R_d (release in blood from proteolysis) | 176±17 | 188±21 | 0.66 |
| R_s (disappearance from the blood) | 138±17 | 156±18 | 0.48 |
| Three-pool model | |
| Transport into muscle free pool | 314±58 | 300±54 | 0.86 |
| Transport from muscle free pool | 351±57 | 332±57 | 0.81 |
| Release from proteolysis | 248±34 | 291±47 | 0.50 |
| Utilization for protein synthesis + oxidation | 211±31 | 259±44 | 0.42 |
| Intracellular availability | 562±62 | 590±75 | 0.79 |

| **Leg alanine kinetics** |
| Parameters common to both models | Women | Men | P Value |
| Net balance | −292±60 | −268±38 | 0.73 |
| Delivery to the leg | 1,068±107 | 1,028±113 | 0.80 |
| Release from the leg | 1,360±133 | 1,296±130 | 0.74 |
| Two-pool model | |
| R_d (release in blood from proteolysis + de novo synthesis) | 843±88 | 961±95 | 0.39 |
| R_s (disappearance from the blood) | 551±64 | 693±65 | 0.15 |
| Three-pool model | |
| Transport into muscle free pool | 432±46 | 454±44 | 0.73 |
| Transport from muscle free pool | 724±68 | 723±70 | 0.99 |
| Release from proteolysis + de novo synthesis | 2,187±331 | 2,836±374 | 0.22 |
| Utilization for protein synthesis + oxidation | 1,894±316 | 2,568±356 | 0.19 |
| Intracellular availability | 2,618±330 | 3,291±399 | 0.23 |

Values are means ± SE in nmol·min^{-1}·100 g lean leg mass^{-1} unless otherwise indicated.

On the other hand, the current study also indicates that there were significant sex-based differences in postabsorptive amino acid kinetics when the data were expressed in the conventional manner (per 100 ml leg volume). In particular, phenylalanine net balance across the leg, leg R_s and intracellular availability, and muscle alanine intracellular appearance and alanine utilization for protein synthesis and oxidation were significantly
higher in men than women. Furthermore, measures of muscle protein turnover, using phenylalanine and alanine tracers, tended to be greater in men. Additionally, we found a discrepancy between leg $R_a$ measured with phenylalanine (higher in men) and the same parameter measured with leucine (no sex difference) in the presence of concordant results relative to leg $R_d$ (no sex difference). As previously mentioned, the leg $R_a$ is a measure of the rate at which amino acids released from proteolysis reach the circulation. Thus this result would suggest that either the leucine released from proteolysis was preferentially oxidized in men rather than transported in the blood (which would have confirmed our original hypothesis) or that phenylalanine outward transport was relatively greater than leucine transport in men but not in women. The second option appears more likely since there was a trend for phenylalanine outward transport to be greater in men, whereas leucine transport did not differ between women and men.

Morphological analysis by DEXA indicated that men had significantly larger mean leg mass and a smaller leg fat mass than women. Because the subjects’ leg volume was not different between women and men, the proportion of leg muscle was greater in men than women. This led us to recalculate the muscle amino acid kinetics relative to lean leg mass. Using this approach, all significant differences and trends in muscle intracellular amino acid kinetics calculated by either the two-pool or the three-pool model disappeared. These results provide evidence that, despite differences in hormonal milieu, basal intracellular muscle protein turnover is not different between women and men when differences in body composition are accounted for.

Our data are in agreement with a recent study reporting no sex-related differences in basal whole body leucine flux, oxidation, and nonoxidative disposal when the data are expressed per kilogram of fat-free mass (18). In addition, others have shown that basal muscle FSR are not different between women and men (1, 18). However, in these studies, muscle protein breakdown and net balance were not measured; thus, intracellular turnover and recycling from breakdown into synthesis could not be estimated. Our data are also consistent with a previous report in which forearm protein synthesis and breakdown, as estimated with the two-pool model, was greater in men that in women when the data were normalized by total forearm volume, but these differences were no longer detected when the data were expressed by unit of forearm muscle mass (9). Again, from these data, it was not possible to determine if women had a higher intracellular amino acid recycling into synthesis or lower turnover, which could have explained the lower amino acid oxidation rate previously observed (13, 14, 16, 19), because the model could not account for intracellular amino acid kinetics. Our current study demonstrates for the first time that the muscle intracellular kinetics (including incorporation into proteins, release from breakdown, recycling from breakdown into synthesis, and transport) of three different amino acids are not different in women and men and provides strong evidence of a lack of sex differences in baseline muscle protein and amino acid kinetics.

However, Phillips et al. (19) have shown that women have a significantly lower resting energy expenditure and metabolic rate even when adjusted for body composition. Therefore, one would expect to observe a sexual dimorphism in the metabolism of protein and/or other substrates, particularly in the muscle. In other words, the greater energy consumption per unit of active metabolic tissue observed in men would suggest that the turnover and/or oxidation of some substrates are higher in men. Several studies have reported that the whole body rate of leucine appearance (an index of whole body proteolysis) and nonoxidative rate of disappearance (an index of whole body protein synthesis) were not different between women and men (13, 14, 16, 19, 30). Nevertheless, the basal rate of leucine oxidation has been shown to be significantly lower in women even when the data are expressed per unit of lean mass (13, 14, 16, 19, 30). Furthermore, it is well known that leucine oxidation is higher in men than women during aerobic exercise (13, 14, 16, 19). Thus, because of these known sex differences in whole body leucine oxidation, we hypothesized that the higher amino acid oxidation in men could be the result of an increased intracellular amino acid turnover and/or reduced recycling of amino acids from breakdown into synthesis not detectable with the traditional AV balance method. However, we did not observe any significant sex differences in muscle intracellular amino acid turnover or in the efficiency by which amino acids are used for muscle protein synthesis. Therefore, the whole body differences in leucine oxidation between women and men do not appear to be the result of differences in muscle amino acid utilization and suggest differences in amino acid metabolism at the level of other tissues, such as the splanchnic tissues. This hypothesis must be confirmed with appropriate studies.

A potential limitation of this study may derive from the physiological between-subject variability in muscle amino acid kinetics. Although in line with that reported in previous studies, the between-subject variability might have reduced our ability to detect small sex differences in muscle intracellular amino acid kinetics (utilization and release). However, we and others have seen changes in response to treatments such as insulin (4, 8, 22), nutritional stimuli (29, 31, 32), exercise (20, 21, 24, 26), and testosterone (7) that were much larger (typically >100%) than the differences seen between sexes (which ranged between 14 and 26%). As a result, sex is apparently a relatively unimportant determinant of intracellular amino acid kinetics compared with other physiological stimuli of interest when the data are normalized by lean leg mass.

A variable that can influence amino acid kinetics in women is the menstrual phase (12, 15). Increases in progesterone levels, as observed in the luteal phase, have been shown to increase leucine oxidation (15). We accounted for this variable...
by studying each female subject during the follicular phase. This was verified by measuring the circulating progesterone concentrations. However, future studies are required to determine if basal muscle intracellular amino acid kinetics are altered during the luteal phase.

In summary, despite a greater muscle mass in men, muscle intracellular amino acid kinetics (including muscle protein synthesis and breakdown) per unit of lean mass are not different in men and women. This also suggests that the muscle protein kinetic parameters should be expressed per unit of lean or muscle mass when comparing groups including both women and men. Because men have undoubtedly larger muscles than women, and because basal muscle protein turnover is not different, it is likely that men respond to anabolic stimuli more effectively than women. Thus it will be important to determine if there are sex differences in response to nutrients or other physiological anabolic factors such as contraction. Finally, it is also likely that large sex differences in amino acid and protein kinetics occur during puberty, when the muscle sexual dimorphism becomes evident.
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