Role of the hepatic sympathetic nerves in the regulation of net hepatic glucose uptake and the mediation of the portal glucose signal
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signal. Stumpel and Jungermann (48) showed in the perfused rat liver that the effect of the portal signal could be abolished by the addition of atropine but mimicked by an infusion of ACh in the portal vein, leading to the conclusion that the effect was mediated by the parasympathetic nerves. We showed that an adrenergic blockade (portal vein phenolamine and propanolol infusion) and coincident cholinergic stimulation (portal vein ACh infusion), brought about in the presence of hyperinsulinemic, hyperglycemic conditions, increased NHGU by 1.8 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) when compared with controls (46). These results were inconclusive, however, because portal vein administration of ACh caused an increase in hepatic artery blood flow, leading to a rise in the glucose and insulin loads to the liver. Thus the increase in NHGU was in part load dependent. The possibility also exists that the mediator which increased flow may have also increased NHGU. Because increased hepatic artery flow does not occur in response to portal glucose delivery, this raises a question as to the relevance of the above finding. In line with this, our laboratory has recently shown (7) that cooling the vagus nerves, which interrupts parasympathetic firing (22), had no effect on NHGU in the presence of the portal signal. This suggests that the parasympathetic efferents may not be involved in the transmission of the portal signal.

Our attention has thus turned to the sympathetic nerves and their possible role in mediating the effects of the portal glucose delivery. We hypothesize that the sympathetic nervous system exerts a restraining effect on hepatic glucose uptake that can be reversed by the entry of glucose in the portal vein. We suggest that eliminating the sympathetic nerves using selective hepatic sympathectomy would result in an increase in NHGU in response to peripheral glucose infusion and a reduction in the augmentation of NHGU in response to the portal glucose signal.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

**Animals and surgical procedures.** Studies were carried out on conscious 42-h-fasted mongrel dogs with a mean weight of 23.1 ± 0.4 kg. A fast of this duration was chosen because it produces a metabolic signal. Stumpel and Jungermann (48) showed in the perfused rat liver that the effect of the portal signal could be abolished by the addition of atropine but mimicked by an infusion of ACh in the portal vein, leading to the conclusion that the effect was mediated by the parasympathetic nerves. We showed that an adrenergic blockade (portal vein phenolamine and propanolol infusion) and coincident cholinergic stimulation (portal vein ACh infusion), brought about in the presence of hyperinsulinemic, hyperglycemic conditions, increased NHGU by 1.8 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) when compared with controls (46). These results were inconclusive, however, because portal vein administration of ACh caused an increase in hepatic artery blood flow, leading to a rise in the glucose and insulin loads to the liver. Thus the increase in NHGU was in part load dependent. The possibility also exists that the mediator which increased flow may have also increased NHGU. Because increased hepatic artery flow does not occur in response to portal glucose delivery, this raises a question as to the relevance of the above finding. In line with this, our laboratory has recently shown (7) that cooling the vagus nerves, which interrupts parasympathetic firing (22), had no effect on NHGU in the presence of the portal signal. This suggests that the parasympathetic efferents may not be involved in the transmission of the portal signal.
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Processing and analysis of samples. The collection and immediate processing of blood samples have been described previously (15). Four to eight 10-μl aliquots of plasma from each sample were immediately analyzed for glucose using the glucose oxidase technique in a glucose analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA). Plasma insulin and glucagon concentrations were determined by RIA, as previously described (33). PAH was also measured in perchloric acid-deproteinized blood, as previously described (33). The methods by which the cortisol, lactate, and glycerol concentrations were measured have also been previously described (33).

Calculations and data analysis. An intraportal infusion of glucose has the possibility of mixing poorly with the blood in the laminar flow of the portal circulation. Thus it was critical to assess the mixing of the portal infusate in P2. This was accomplished by comparing the recovery of PAH (which was mixed with the portal glucose infusion of D20) in the portal and hepatic veins with the PAH infusion rate using an approach described elsewhere (33). In each group, 13 dogs were studied, and 3 dogs were not included because of poor mixing, as defined previously (29). In the control and denervated animals that were retained, the ratio of PAH recovery in the portal vein to the PAH infusion rate was 1.0 ± 0.1 to 1.0 ± 0.1, whereas the ratio of PAH recovery in the hepatic vein to the PAH infusion rate was 0.9 ± 0.03 to 1.0 ± 0.04, respectively (a ratio of 1.0 would represent perfect mixing). Hepatic blood flow (HBF) was measured using transonic flow probes and by use of ICG according to the method of Leevy et al. (25). The results obtained with transonic flow probes and ICG were not significantly different, but the data reported here were calculated using the transonic-determined flows because their measurement did not require an assumption regarding the distribution of the arterial and portal vein contribution to hepatic blood flow.

An indirect (I) method was used to assess NHGU to minimize the potential errors that arise due to any imperfect mixing of the infused glucose in the blood. Thus HGL was calculated as

\[
\text{load}_I = (G_A \times \text{HBF}) + \text{GIR}_{P2} - \text{GUG}
\]

where LoadI is the load of glucose entering the liver, \(G_A\) is the arteriolar glucose blood concentration, \(\text{GIR}_{P2}\) is the portal glucose infusion rate, and \(\text{GUG}\) is the uptake of glucose by the gastrointestinal tract, derived from the data in the peripheral infusion period.

The load of a substrate exiting (Loadout) the liver was calculated as

\[
\text{load}_{\text{out}} = (\text{GH} \times \text{HBF})
\]

where \(\text{GH}\) represents the hepatic vein glucose concentration.

Net hepatic glucose balance (NHGB) was thus calculated as

\[
\text{NHGB} = \text{load}_{\text{out}} - \text{load}_I
\]

Hepatic glucose balance and fractional extraction were also calculated using a direct calculation that has been described previously (38). The results obtained did not differ significantly from those obtained using the indirect calculation. Net fractional substrate extraction by the liver was calculated directly as the ratio of NHGB to \(\text{load}_I\). Nonhepatic glucose uptake was calculated as previously described (13). The hepatic substrate load and net hepatic balance for lactate and glycerol, hepatic sinusoidal insulin and glucagon concentrations, and net gut glucose uptake were calculated as described previously (38).

For all glucose balance calculations, glucose concentrations were converted from plasma to blood values using previously determined (18, 19) conversion factors (the mean of the ratio of the blood value to the plasma concentration). The use of whole blood glucose values ensures accurate hepatic balance measurements regardless of the characteristics of glucose entry in the erythrocyte.

Statistical analysis. All data are presented as means ± SE. Time course data were analyzed with repeated-measures ANOVA. Independent t-tests were used for any comparisons of mean data. Statistical significance was accepted at \(P < 0.05\).

RESULTS

Hepatic sympathetic denervation. Liver norepinephrine levels (Table 1) were assessed using HPLC. The average norepinephrine level in the CON group was 658 ± 68 ng/g liver, whereas, in the DEN group, it was only 10 ± 4 ng/g liver. These values indicate a >98% denervation.

Arterial and portal plasma glucose levels. The arterial plasma glucose levels in the control group were 238 ± 3, 236 ± 7, and 242 ± 3 mg/dl during P1, P2, and P3, respectively, whereas the portal vein plasma glucose levels were 235 ± 3, 255 ± 8, and 239 ± 3 mg/dl, respectively (Fig. 1A). The arterial plasma glucose levels (236 ± 3, 223 ± 3, 235 ± 2 mg/dl) in the DEN group were similar to those seen in the control group, as were the portal vein glucose levels (234 ± 3, 243 ± 4, and 233 ± 2 mg/dl) during P1, P2, and P3, respectively (Fig. 1B).

Arterial plasma and liver sinusoidal insulin and glucagon.

Arterial and liver sinusoidal plasma insulin and glucagon levels were maintained at basal values throughout the experiment in both groups (Fig. 2).

Hepatic blood flow and glucose load. Hepatic blood flow (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) was similar in all three periods (P1, P2, and P3) in CON (32 ± 2, 30 ± 2, and 32 ± 2) and DEN (31 ± 2, 32 ± 2, and 33 ± 2), respectively (Table 2). Because the glucose levels and hepatic blood flows were similar in the two groups, there were no differences in the HGL across time or between treatments (Table 2). The average HGLs (mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) in the CON and DEN groups were 53 ± 3 and 54 ± 4 in P1, 55 ± 3 and 55 ± 4 in P2, and 57 ± 3 and 55 ± 3 in P3, respectively. There were no statistical differences in the total glucose infusion rates between the two groups across the three periods. The total glucose infusion rates (mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) in P1, P2, and P3 were 3.5 ± 0.4, 4.1 ± 0.2, and 4.1 ± 0.2 in the CON group, respectively. The total glucose infusion rates in the DEN group were 4.3 ± 0.4, 4.8 ± 0.5, and 4.6 ± 0.3 in P1, P2, and P3, respectively.

Blood levels and net hepatic balance of lactate and glycerol. Both groups exhibited net lactate uptake in the basal period. Hyperglycemia resulted in a switch to net hepatic lactate output that was similar in both groups and constant over time. The arterial blood lactate levels rose steadily over the three periods in both groups because of net hepatic lactate output (Table 3).

Arterial glycerol levels and net hepatic glycerol uptake fell rapidly in response to hyperglycemia in both groups and
eventually plateaued at an average of ~50 μmol/l and ~1 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (Table 3), respectively (no differences between groups).

**NHGB and nonhepatic glucose uptake.** Basal net hepatic glucose output was not statistically different between the two groups (1.8 ± 0.3 and 1.9 ± 0.2 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ in the CON and DEN groups, respectively). Because of the drift that is typically seen in NHGU over time (Table 4), P1 and P3 can be averaged to obtain the mean NHGU response to peripheral hyperglycemia. Thus the average NHGU during peripheral glucose infusion in the CON group was 1.7 ± 0.3 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. NHGU in response to peripheral glucose infusion was significantly greater (P < 0.05) in the DEN group (2.9 ± 0.4 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; Fig. 3A). NHGU significantly increased during P2 (portal glucose infusion) in the CON group, increasing to 2.9 ± 0.3 (Δ1.2) mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, but did not change significantly in the DEN group [3.2 ± 0.2 (Δ0.3) mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹].
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Table 2. Total hepatic blood flow and hepatic glucose load during the experimental periods in 42-h-fasted dogs with innervated or denervated livers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time, min</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>105</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>165</th>
<th>180</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>240</th>
<th>255</th>
<th>270</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>30±2</td>
<td>31±2</td>
<td>32±2</td>
<td>32±3</td>
<td>31±2</td>
<td>30±2</td>
<td>30±2</td>
<td>30±2</td>
<td>30±3</td>
<td>31±3</td>
<td>31±2</td>
<td>32±2</td>
<td>31±3</td>
<td>32±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>31±2</td>
<td>32±2</td>
<td>31±2</td>
<td>31±2</td>
<td>32±2</td>
<td>32±2</td>
<td>32±3</td>
<td>31±2</td>
<td>32±2</td>
<td>33±2</td>
<td>32±2</td>
<td>33±2</td>
<td>34±2</td>
<td>34±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic glucose load (mg/kg/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>52±3</td>
<td>54±4</td>
<td>55±4</td>
<td>55±5</td>
<td>56±4</td>
<td>56±5</td>
<td>54±4</td>
<td>56±4</td>
<td>55±5</td>
<td>52±5</td>
<td>56±5</td>
<td>56±4</td>
<td>55±5</td>
<td>56±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>52±4</td>
<td>54±3</td>
<td>54±4</td>
<td>53±3</td>
<td>56±3</td>
<td>55±3</td>
<td>56±4</td>
<td>55±3</td>
<td>56±3</td>
<td>53±3</td>
<td>55±3</td>
<td>56±3</td>
<td>58±4</td>
<td>58±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SE; n = 10 dogs in each group.

Hepatic sympathetic nerves and net hepatic glucose uptake

Net hepatic fractional glucose extraction was 3.1 and 5.4% in response to peripheral glucose infusion in the CON and DEN groups, respectively. It increased in response to portal glucose infusion in the CON group (5.4%; P < 0.05) but failed to increase significantly (6.5%) in the DEN group (Table 4).

The average nonhepatic glucose uptake rate seen in response to peripheral glucose infusion was 2.2 ± 0.1 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ in the CON group and 1.6 ± 0.4 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ in the DEN group (Table 4). Nonhepatic glucose uptake decreased significantly (P < 0.05) during portal glucose infusion (P2) in the CON group to 1.2 ± 0.4 (Δ1.0) mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, but it did not change during the same time period in the DEN group [1.6 ± 0.4 (Δ0.0) mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; Fig. 3B].

DISCUSSION

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that a basal inhibitory sympathetic tone to the liver blocks NHGU and that this inhibition is relieved by entry of glucose in the portal vein. Our findings support this concept. There was almost a doubling of NHGU during peripheral glucose infusion in dogs that had undergone selective hepatic sympathectomy compared with those that had not. Furthermore, when the liver was sympathetically denervated, the response to portal glucose delivery was reduced by ≈75%. The data regarding nonhepatic glucose uptake are less clear. Although nonhepatic glucose uptake was lower during peripheral glucose infusion in DEN and it did not change in response to portal glucose infusion, the study lacked the statistical power to allow definitive conclusions to be drawn.

Sympathetic nerve fibers reach the liver through the celiac ganglia, celiac plexus, and the splanchic nerves (18, 19, 39–41). The sympathetic fibers form an anterior plexus around the hepatic artery, and Alexander (4) showed that the hepatic artery receives only sympathetic fibers (4). The parasympathetic fibers form a posterior plexus around the portal vein. The postganglionic parasympathetic nerves are derived from ganglia located at the hepatic hilus and within the portal spaces (14). These parasympathetic fibers are separate from any amine-ergic nerves found in the area (5, 11, 27, 35, 47, 49). To selectively eliminate sympathetic input to the liver, we removed the nerves associated with the hepatic arterial circulation. The success of the denervation was confirmed by a reduction of norepinephrine in all seven lobes of the liver, which averaged 98%. Neural regeneration and hepatic reinnervation of the dog liver requires 3 mo (20), and thus the denervation was still virtually complete 2 wk postsurgery. It is possible that some vagal innervation may have been compromised during surgery, but given the association of parasympathetic nerves with the portal vein, we believe that most of the parasympathetic fibers remained intact. They were thus still able to exert any regulatory effects that they might have on NHGU and nonhepatic glucose uptake. It should be noted that there were no differences in body weight, food consumption, or basal NHGU between the two groups, suggesting that the chronic decrease in hepatic sympathetic tone did not have any demonstrable baseline effect on the animals. It is important to note that we did not directly measure hepatic sympathetic tone during these experiments but assumed that the level of nor-

Table 3. Arterial blood lactate and glycerol and net hepatic balance of lactate and glycerol during the basal and experimental periods on 42-h-fasted dogs with innervated or denervated livers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basal</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arterial blood lactate, μmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>384±52</td>
<td>845±104</td>
<td>1,064±78</td>
<td>1,036±107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>532±114</td>
<td>1,195±153*</td>
<td>1,318±131*</td>
<td>1,331±128*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net hepatic lactate balance, μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>–5.9±0.8</td>
<td>5.8±2.4</td>
<td>6.9±1.5</td>
<td>5.4±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>–7.0±1.5</td>
<td>8.3±2.7</td>
<td>7.3±1.9</td>
<td>7.7±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial blood glycerol, μmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>84±10</td>
<td>53±10</td>
<td>47±8</td>
<td>48±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>84±10</td>
<td>56±7</td>
<td>50±7</td>
<td>49±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net hepatic glycerol uptake, μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>1.8±0.2</td>
<td>1.1±0.2</td>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>2.1±0.3</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
<td>1.1±0.2</td>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SE; n = 10 dogs in each group. P1–P3, periods 1–3; –, uptake. *Significant statistical difference (P < 0.05) from CON.
epinephrine in the liver reflected an elimination of sympathetic input to the liver. Several variables that affect NHGU were minimized to accurately assess the effect of the selective sympathetic denervation on NHGU. Arterial insulin was maintained at a basal level in both groups to avoid the variability seen in the response of NHGU to a rise in insulin. Likewise, the arterial plasma glucagon concentrations were kept constant and basal. The arterial plasma glucose concentration was doubled and clamped across the three periods in both groups. Because there was no change in hepatic blood flow, the HGL to the liver was the same throughout the three experimental periods and was equal in both groups. Thus the differences in NHGU between the two groups cannot be explained by differences in plasma insulin or glucagon levels or the glucose load to the liver.

Prior work in our laboratory has examined the effect of portal glucose delivery on NHGU. In hyperglycemic experiments performed by both Pagliassotti et al. (33) and Hsieh et al. (18) in which both insulin and glucagon were kept basal while plasma glucose was doubled, peripheral glucose delivery resulted in average NHGUs of 0.4 ± 0.3 and 1.5 ± 0.3, respectively. Thus the NHGU of 1.7 ± 0.3 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ that we observed in response to peripheral glucose administration in the control animals of the present study is consistent with previous data. In our earlier studies (18, 33), when glucose was given intraportally at ~3.5 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ and the HGLs were matched to those seen during peripheral glucose administration, NHGU (mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) increased to 2.3 ± 0.7 and 3.4 ± 0.5, respectively. Thus we have shown previously that the portal signal, on the background of hyperglycemia, basal insulin, and basal glucagon, increases NHGU an average of ~1.9 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. In the present control experiments, the increase in NHGU during portal vs. peripheral glucose delivery was 1.2 ± 0.2 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, in line with the increase we have observed previously.

The dogs with sympathetically denervated livers responded to hyperglycemia quite differently from the normal animals. The average NHGU during the peripheral glucose infusion period was 2.9 ± 0.4 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, a value almost double ($P < 0.05$) that seen under the same conditions in the dogs with innervated livers. With portal glucose delivery, NHGU increased to 3.2 ± 0.2 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ in the DEN group, which is a similar value to that seen in the control animals (2.9 ± 0.3 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), yet only ~0.3 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ greater than that seen during average peripheral glucose administration. This limited increase is not the result of a saturation of the hepatic response, since these rates of NHGU are significantly lower than rates we have previously shown to occur during portal glucose infusion (45) and lower than the peak rates observed during oral glucose delivery (1). Thus we conclude that the sympathetic efferents play an important role in the regulation of NHGU by exerting a basal inhibitory tone that limits glucose uptake in response to hyperglycemia of peripheral origin. This is consistent with previous work from our laboratory (8), which showed that cooling the vagus nerves (decreasing afferent vagal firing) in the presence of euglycemia and euisulcinemia decreased net hepatic glucose output, presumably by reflexively decreasing the efferent sympathetic outflow to the liver. It is interesting to note that we saw no differences in basal net hepatic glucose output between the two groups in this current study despite hepatic denervation. There are several possible explanations for the discrepancy between our two studies. First, it is possible that vagal cooling results in changes in addition to reduced sympathetic input to the liver. Alternatively, there may be a difference between acute and chronic sympathectomy.

The question arises as to whether cutting the sympathetic efferents changed NHGU in response to glucose per se (i.e., regardless of the site of delivery) or if, in addition, it blocked the effect of the portal glucose signal. If the response was to glucose per se, then the portal glucose signal should have caused a change of ~1.2 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ when glucose was
delivered intrahepatically in the hepatic denervated dogs. Because
the change was only 0.3 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, these data suggest
that reduced sympathetic tone to the liver is responsible for a
significant portion of the increase in NHGU attributed to portal
glucose delivery. It must be remembered, however, that we
cronically removed sympathetic efferents to the liver. Chronic
removal of sympathetic input may result in changes that
increase the ability of glucose to increase NHGU, which might
not occur in response to the acute removal of sympathetic input
associated with portal glucose delivery. It is also possible that
sympathetic denervation resulted in a saturation of the response
to the portal glucose signal, thus masking any further input (i.e.,
positive stimuli) generated by portal glucose delivery. Thus,
although our data suggest that the portal glucose signal in-
creases NHGU by causing a decrease in sympathetic tone,
further proof of this concept is still required.

Based on our data, we cannot draw a definitive conclusion
about the additional involvement of a stimulatory signal in
the response to portal glucose administration. In the control
dogs, the response to portal glucose infusion was −1.2
mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, whereas in the denervated animals it was
−0.3 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. Taken at face value, this suggests that
75% of the response to portal glucose delivery is caused by
removal of an inhibitory tone and 25% is because of the
activation of a stimulatory signal. In the presence of the portal
signal, there is an increase in glycolycyn synthase activation
that could act as the stimulatory factor (33). It has also been
suggested that the portal signal may cause the translocation
of glucokinase, thus also contributing a stimulatory input (9).
Unfortunately, the present study lacks the power needed to
determine the quantitative contribution of any putative stimu-
latory signal to the overall response so that equal contribu-
tion by inhibitory and stimulatory factors remains possible.

Previous work in our laboratory by Shiota et al. (46) showed
that an intraportal infusion of α- and β-adrenergic receptor
antagonists (phenolamine and propranolol, respectively) did
not enhance NHGU during hyperinsulinemia and hyperglyce-
emia (achieved via peripheral glucose infusion). This is in
apparent conflict with our current findings, but several issues
should be noted. Intraportal infusion of the adrenergic blockers
would have caused only a partial blockade of sympathetic tone
to the liver if the dose of the blockers used was inadequate.
Likewise, it is not clear if blockers delivered via the portal vein
can reach the synapse and block endogenously released nor-
epinephrine effectively. Additionally, phenolamine has been
shown to stimulate postsynaptic (α₁) receptors while inhibiting
presynaptic (α₂) receptors, resulting in an enhanced output of
norepinephrine (52). It has also been shown that propranolol
can have an intrinsic (partial agonist) effect on β-adrenergic
receptors in the liver (10). The partial agonist properties of
these adrenergic antagonists may thus have counteracted any
effects attributable to adrenergic blockade. Another way to
reconcile our current observations and our previous findings
would be to conclude that, in the present study, we removed
afferent nerve fibers that travel with the efferent sympathetic
nerves. For that to be the case, the afferent fibers would initiate
an inhibitory signal to the liver through nonadrenergic mech-
anisms that would be blocked by sympathetic nerve section.
This seems unlikely, since it has been shown that glucose-
sensitive afferent fibers originating in the liver travel with the
vagus nerves.

It has been shown that portal glucose delivery not only leads
to an increase in hepatic glucose uptake but also to a decrease
in nonhepatic glucose uptake (2, 18, 33). Under conditions
similar to those seen in this experiment, Hsieh et al. (18, 19)
showed an average nonhepatic glucose uptake of 4.3 ± 0.5
mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ during peripheral glucose administration,
which decreased to 1.0 ± 0.3 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ during portal
glucose administration and then returned to 4.6 ± 0.7
mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ at the termination of the portal glucose signal.
In the control animals of the current study, the average non-
hepatic glucose uptake during the first peripheral glucose
infusion period was 2.1 ± 0.2 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, decreasing
significantly to 1.2 ± 0.4 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ with portal glucose
delivery. At the termination of the portal glucose administra-
tion, nonhepatic glucose uptake returned to 2.3 ± 0.2
mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. Thus the response to portal glucose delivery
in the control animals was consistent with previous data,
although the nonhepatic glucose uptake was lower in P1 and
P3 than in earlier studies for reasons that are not clear. In the
animals with denervated livers, nonhepatic glucose uptake was
only slightly less in response to peripheral glucose infusion
(1.6 ± 0.4 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) than in the control dogs. There
was no change in nonhepatic glucose balance in response to
portal glucose infusion in the DEN group. Given the subtle
difference noted above, however, one cannot draw a definitive
conclusion regarding the role of sympathetic nerves in the
mediation of the change in nonhepatic glucose uptake.

In summary, the sympathetic nerves exert an inhibitory tone
on liver glucose uptake. Removal of this inhibition by selective
hepatic sympathetic denervation leads to an increase in NHGU
in response to hyperglycemia induced by peripheral glucose
infusion. It also results in a blunting of the increase in NHGU
seen in response to intraportal glucose delivery. The portal
signal, therefore, leads to the removal of an inhibitory tone to
the liver, which in turn allows NHGU to increase. Whether
hepatic sympathetic nerves act in concert with an additional
stimulatory signal still needs to be determined.
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